



UNIVERSITY OF
PLYMOUTH

PEARL

Notes from the Anatolian underground: two new mole taxa from Eastern Turkey, together with a revised phylogeny of the genus *Talpa* (Mammalia: Eulipotyphla: Talpidae)

Gündüz, İ. İslam; Demirtaş, Sadık; Silsüpür, Metin; Özmen, Medine; Polly, P. David; Bilton, David T.

Published in:

Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society

DOI:

[10.1093/zoolinnean/zlad049](https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlad049)

Publication date:

2023

Link:

[Link to publication in PEARL](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Gündüz, İ., Demirtaş, S., Silsüpür, M., Özmen, M., Polly, P. D., & Bilton, D. T. (2023). Notes from the Anatolian underground: two new mole taxa from Eastern Turkey, together with a revised phylogeny of the genus *Talpa* (Mammalia: Eulipotyphla: Talpidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlad049>

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Wherever possible please cite the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Download date: 20. Sep. 2024

1 **Abstract**

2 Subterranean life is associated with strong adaptive constraints, leading to the frequent
3 occurrence of morphologically cryptic lineages. This is true of most small mammals,
4 including moles (Eulipotyphla: Talpidae), where a number of species have been recognised
5 recently, particularly following the application of molecular genetics. Here, we use
6 mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequence data and geometric morphometrics to explore the
7 systematics and evolution of some of the least-known Western Palaearctic moles: the *Talpa*
8 *dauriana* group of Eastern Anatolia/Iran. We show that *T. dauriana* includes four taxa, two
9 of which we describe herein: *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, *T. dauriana dauriana*, *T. dauriana*
10 *tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** and *T. streetorum* **valid species**. For the first time, we apply molecular
11 species delimitation analyses to *Talpa*, confirming taxonomic hypotheses and suggesting the
12 existence of further morphologically cryptic lineages. These analyses also support the
13 recognition of *T. transcaucasica* as a **valid species** distinct from *T. levantis*. We present a
14 revised phylogeny for Eurasian *Talpa* and increase the number of known extant taxa to 18,
15 most of which are found in Anatolia, the global hotspot of diversity in this genus. This likely
16 results from the isolation of suitable habitats by a combination of climatic and topographical
17 heterogeneity.

18 **ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS:** Geometric morphometrics – molecular phylogeny – new
19 species – species delimitation analyses – subspecies – subterranean – systematics – Talpinae.

20

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Life underground imposes strict selection regimes and adaptive constraints on
3 organisms, which are manifested in multiple taxa and operate across all levels of biological
4 organisation. A number of mammal lineages have evolved specialized subterranean lifestyles,
5 most of these being small, burrowing taxa (e.g., Nevo, 1979; Lacey, 2000; Begall *et al.*,
6 2007; Davies *et al.*, 2015; Carotenuto *et al.*, 2020; Montoya-Sanhueza *et al.*, 2022). Whilst
7 not all characters in subterranean mammals have undergone convergent evolution (e.g.,
8 Sansalone *et al.*, 2020), the underground lifestyle is associated with a suite of modifications,
9 many of which are convergent across lineages, and demonstrated at morphological,
10 physiological and genomic levels (Lacey, 2000; Begall *et al.*, 2007; Partha *et al.*, 2017; Jiang
11 *et al.*, 2020). Perhaps due to strong morphological constraints, cryptic species are widespread
12 in fossorial mammals (e.g., Peppers & Bradley, 2000; Savić *et al.*, 2017; Carballo & Rossi
13 2018; D'Elia *et al.*, 2021), including in talpid moles (e.g., He *et al.*, 2017); much of this
14 cryptic diversity being revealed recently through karyology and DNA-based studies.

15 *Talpa* Linnaeus, 1758 (Eulipotyphla: Talpidae) is a fossorial mole genus endemic to
16 the western Palaearctic region, found primarily in Europe and western Asia; some species are
17 widespread, but many have narrower endemic ranges, particularly in the east. Nine taxa were
18 recognized by Hutterer (2005): the common mole *T. europaea* Linnaeus, 1758, the blind
19 mole *T. caeca* Savi, 1822, the Roman mole *T. romana* Thomas, 1902, the Levant mole *T.*
20 *levantis* Thomas, 1906, the Iberian blind mole *T. occidentalis* Cabrera, 1907, the Balkan mole
21 *T. stankovici* Martino and Martino, 1931, Père David's mole *T. davidiana* (Milne-Edwards,
22 1884) and the Caucasian mole *T. caucasica* Satunin, 1908, all in the subgenus *Talpa*, and the
23 Altai mole *T. altaica*, Nikolasky, 1883, often placed in the monospecific subgenus
24 *Asioscalops* Stroganov, 1941 (see Bannikova *et al.*, 2015). The conservative morphology of

1 the genus means that the number of *Talpa* lineages has been underestimated from
2 morphological differences, prompting a series of molecular studies.

3 Although comprehensive genetic analyses of most *Talpa* are lacking, recent
4 applications of DNA-based approaches have helped identify several well-defined lineages
5 that are as evolutionarily distinct as previously recognised nominal species (Bannikova *et al.*,
6 2015; Nicolas *et al.*, 2017a,b; Kryštufek *et al.*, 2018; Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020), suggesting that
7 there may be further, hidden, taxonomic diversity within the genus. Two new mole species,
8 *T. aquitania* Nicolas, Martínez-Vargas & Hugot, 2017 (Nicolas *et al.*, 2017b) from France
9 and *T. martinorum* Kryštufek, Nedyalkov, Jonas, Astrin & Hutterer, 2018 (Kryštufek *et al.*,
10 2018) from Bulgaria (the south-western Black Sea coast) have been described in recent years,
11 based on molecular and morphological data. Bannikova *et al.* (2015) also recently separated
12 two additional, genetically well-defined, lineages in the Caucasus and Anatolia,
13 corresponding to *T. talyschensis* Vereschagin, 1945 and *T. ognevi* Stroganov, 1944. Finally,
14 Demirtaş *et al.* (2020) demonstrated that *T. levantis* s.l. in Anatolia is divisible into divergent
15 eastern and western lineages based on both mitochondrial and nuclear markers, and on this
16 basis argued that the eastern lineage should be considered as a separate species (for which the
17 available name is *T. transcaucasica* Dahl, 1945). The number of recognized species in the
18 genus *Talpa* has therefore recently increased from nine (Hutterer, 2005) to 14 (Bannikova *et*
19 *al.*, 2015; Kryštufek & Motokawa, 2018; Kryštufek *et al.*, 2018; Burgin *et al.*, 2020;
20 Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020), a significant increase over the last seventeen years. Current
21 understanding of the genus in a genetic and phylogenetic context remains biased towards the
22 western part of its range in Europe, however, thereby necessitating comparative analyses with
23 a greater emphasis on specimens from farther east, particularly in areas known to harbour
24 high mole diversity (e.g., the Caucasus and Anatolia).

1 Turkey hosts six of the 14 currently recognised *Talpa* species (*T. europaea*, *T.*
2 *davidiana*, *T. levantis*, *T. martinorum*, *T. ognevi*, and *T. transcaucasica* (Kryštufek &
3 Vohralík, 2001; Bannikova *et al.*, 2015; Kryštufek, *et al.*, 2018; Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020;
4 Kefelioğlu *et al.*, 2020). Of these, *T. davidiana* remains one of the least known, being listed
5 as data deficient by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), with limited
6 information available on its distribution, population status, or possible threats. Milne-
7 Edwards (1884) described *T. davidiana* from Akbes at the border of Syria and Asia Minor
8 (present-day Turkey) on the basis of specimens collected by Père Armand David. He named
9 it *Scaptochirus davidianus*, based on the dentition, which he considered to more closely
10 resemble some eastern Asian moles than *Talpa*. Kryštufek *et al.* (2001) revisited the species,
11 showing that it clearly belongs in *Talpa* and discussed morphological variation across known
12 localities around the southern margin of the Anatolian – Iranian high plateau in Turkey and
13 Iran. Later, Kryštufek and Vohralík (2005) incorporated the western Iranian *T. streetorum*
14 Lay, 1965 (as *T. streeti*) into *T. davidiana*; dividing it into two subspecies (*T. davidiana*
15 *davidiana* and *T. davidiana streetorum*) and considering the latter taxon to include larger
16 *davidiana*-type moles from Eastern Turkey.

17 To date, few attempts have been made to explore species boundaries in *Talpa* using
18 formal molecular delimitation approaches, despite the difficult taxonomy of the genus
19 (Bannikova *et al.*, 2015). Rigorous species identification and delimitation are fundamental to
20 a more robust and objective system of classification to ensure an accurate phylogeny and
21 better-informed conservation (Pimm *et al.*, 2014; Groves *et al.*, 2017). Recent advances in
22 genomic and bioinformatic tools have opened new avenues for species identification and
23 delimitation, providing a valuable complement to the morphology-based taxonomy that
24 remains a key framework for species identification in practice. Genomic data could even
25 serve as the backbone of a taxonomic description in some cases, especially in taxonomically

1 perplexing groups whose boundaries are diffuse (e.g., species complexes and cryptic species).
2 Many molecular species delimitation methods are currently available for the analysis of
3 single-locus or multi-locus sequence data, which are based on either comparison of intra- and
4 interspecific genetic distances or gene-tree approaches. The goal of these methods is to assign
5 reliably identified samples into groups to delineate and identify species-level entities or
6 Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs), which are the fundamental units of biodiversity (Tautz
7 *et al.*, 2003; Vogler & Monaghan, 2007; Mallo & Posada, 2016; Rannala & Ziheng, 2020).
8 Although they provide helpful insights, different molecular-based species delimitation
9 approaches all have their own limitations in revealing species boundaries (e.g., Paz &
10 Crawford, 2012; Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013; Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Tang *et al.*, 2014;
11 Dellicour & Flot, 2015; Lang *et al.*, 2015; Ahrens *et al.*, 2016; Arrigoni *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*,
12 2016; Pentinsaari *et al.*, 2017; Renner *et al.*, 2017; Dellicour & Flot, 2018; Luo *et al.*,
13 2018; Magoga *et al.*, 2021). However, given their differing analytical approaches and
14 theoretical bases, the use of these methods simultaneously offers the opportunity to delineate
15 species boundaries in a comparative way, with congruence among methods supporting the
16 robustness of any particular OTU (Carstens *et al.*, 2013; Kekkonen & Hebert, 2014; Mutanen
17 *et al.*, 2015; Ji *et al.*, 2021).

18 Based on newly collected, almost topotypical, material of *Talpa davidiana*, together
19 with moles from other areas of the supposed Turkish distribution of this species and a re-
20 examination of types, we show that *T. davidiana* sensu Kryštufek *et al.* (2001) and Sözen *et al.*
21 *al.* (2012) includes four distinct taxa, diagnosable as phylogenetic species (sensu Cracraft
22 1983) using both molecules and morphology. Two of these are currently unnamed, described
23 herein as ***Talpa hakkariensis* sp. nov.** and ***Talpa davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov.**
24 respectively. We use newly generated sequences from the mitochondrial cytochrome *b* (cyt-
25 *b*) gene and exon 11 of the breast cancer type 2 susceptibility protein (*BRCA2*) gene to place

1 these new taxa in a phylogeny of Eurasian *Talpa* and three molecular species delimitation
2 analyses, together with geometric morphometrics (including the type series of *T. streetorum*)
3 to confirm our taxonomic hypotheses.

4 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

5 **Sample collection**

6 The collection localities of all specimens used in molecular and morphometric studies
7 are given in Fig. 1 and Table 1. All capture and sacrifice protocols were approved by the
8 Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee at Ondokuz Mayıs University (code: 2019/28).
9 Trapping was conducted in accordance with the guidelines approved by the American Society
10 of Mammalogists (Sikes *et al.*, 2016) under authorization of the General Directorate of
11 Nature Conservation and National Parks, Republic of Turkey Ministry of Agriculture and
12 Forestry (Register n. 21264211-288.04). Specimens were measured, and the skulls were
13 removed for further study. Standard voucher specimens (skins, skulls and various tissues in
14 ethanol) were deposited in the collections of the Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences,
15 Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey (OMU), subcollection IG/SD (collections of
16 first and second authors, İG and SD).

17 **Morphological examination**

18 Mole specimens were photographed, measured and dissected to prepare skins, skulls
19 and mandibles for formal taxonomic study and morphometrics. Measurements of the external
20 morphology included body weight, head and body length, tail length, and hind-foot length.
21 The following 10 skull characters were measured following Kryštufek *et al.* (2018), using a
22 digital caliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm: condylobasal length of skull (CbL), length of
23 maxillary tooth row (MxT); canine to 3rd molar), breadth of braincase, height of braincase
24 (BcH; bullae excluded), breadth of rostrum over canines (RoC), breadth of rostrum over

1 molars (RoM), breadth of rostrum over canines as a percentage of condylobasal length of
2 skull (RoC as % CbL), breadth of rostrum over molars as a percentage of condylobasal length
3 of skull (RoM as % CbL), length of maxillary tooth row as a percentage of condylobasal
4 length of skull (MxT as % CbL), and height of braincase as a percentage of condylobasal
5 length of skull (BcH as % CbL). The 1st upper molar was viewed and photographed with a
6 Leica M125 stereomicroscope with integrated Leica DF295 digital camera. The cranium and
7 mandibles were photographed with a Nikon D7000 digital camera, in ventral and lateral
8 views for geometric morphometric analyses.

9 **DNA extraction, PCR amplification, sequencing, and phylogeny estimation**

10 Total DNA was extracted from muscle tissue using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits
11 (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA concentration and quality were
12 measured with a microvolume spectrophotometer (Colibri). We specifically focussed here on
13 the '*dauidiana*' group of *Talpa*; however, given that sequence data for the majority of *Talpa*
14 species is limited, our taxonomic sampling also included *T. levantis*, *T. martinorum* and *T.*
15 *ognevi* to add additional sequence data for these species to enhance understanding of the
16 molecular phylogeny of the genus. We studied two loci, the complete mitochondrial *cyt-b*
17 gene and a segment of the nuclear exon 11 of the *BRCA2* gene. Both of these markers have
18 been commonly applied in mammalian phylogenetics, including moles (Bannikova *et al.*,
19 2015; He *et al.*, 2017; Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020).

20 The *cyt-b* gene and flanking regions were amplified for 28 individuals from 15
21 localities with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers L14162 5'-GACATG
22 AAAAATCATCGTTG-3' (modified from L14727-SP in Jaarola & Searle, 2002) and
23 H15917 5'-CCTGAAGTAAGAACCAGATG-3' (modified from H16498 in Meyer *et al.*,
24 1990). PCR amplifications were performed following Demirtaş *et al.* (2020). PCR products
25 were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel, documented with a gel visualization system and

1 purified with QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). The complete *cyt-b* gene of the *Talpa*
2 species of interest was sequenced in both directions using one of the amplification primers
3 (L14162) plus the following internal primers: *T. levantis*: (H15351 5'-
4 TCTCCATTGCTGGTTTACAAGAC-3', modified from H15915 in Irwin *et al.* (1991),
5 L14711 (Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020) and H14812_Tl 5'-TTTRTCYGTGTCKGATGAGAGTCC-3'
6 (this study); *T. ognevi*: H15351, L14711 and H14812_To 5'-
7 TTTGTCTGTGTCTGATGAAAGTCC-3' (this study); *T. martinorum*: H15351, L14711 and
8 H14935_Tm 5'-GAATGTAGTTATCTGGGTCTCC-3' (modified from H14935 in Demirtaş
9 *et al.*, 2020); *T. davidiana*: H15351, L14711_Td 5'-GGTRGACAAAGCCCACTCAC-3'
10 (modified from L14711 in Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020) and H14812_Td 5'-
11 TTTGTCTATATCTGATGRGAGTCC-3' (this study); ***Talpa hakkariensis sp. nov.***:
12 H15351, L14711_Td and H14812_Th 5'-TTTGTCTGTGTCTGATGAAAGTCC-3' (this
13 study). The position of the 3' end oligonucleotide of each of these newly designed internal
14 primers is given relative to the published sequence of the *T. europaea* mitochondrial genome
15 (GenBank Y19192, Mouchaty *et al.*, 2000).

16 There have been many reports of nuclear mtDNA pseudogenes (NUMTs) in the
17 genomes of a diverse range of eukaryotic species (Bensasson *et al.*, 2001; Song *et al.*, 2008;
18 Dubey *et al.*, 2009). To confirm that amplified sequences were of true mitochondrial origin,
19 two different PCRs, producing overlapping fragments, were performed on all individuals of
20 the newly described species (***Talpa hakkariensis sp. nov.***). First, a longer fragment
21 comprised of part of tRNA-Glu (18 bp), the entire *cyt-b* gene (1140 bp), complete tRNA-Thr
22 (69 bp), complete tRNA-Pro (70 bp), and the 5' end of the D-loop (460 bp) were amplified
23 with primers L14162 and H15917. Second, a shorter fragment comprised of part of tRNA-
24 Glu (18 bp), the entire *cyt-b* gene (1140 bp), and part of tRNA-Thr (26 bp) amplified with
25 primers L14162 and H15351. Purified PCR products of these amplifications were sequenced

1 with the PCR primers plus two specific internal primers (L14711_Td and H14812_Th).
2 Chromatograms of the sequencing runs were then aligned and overlapping sequences
3 examined for ambiguous (i.e., double peak) sites, stop codons, or open-reading frame shifts,
4 which might indicate contamination from NUMTs. We also assessed the accuracy and
5 reliability of mtDNA sequences of the new species by subjecting its sequences to
6 identification by NCBI BLAST and by comparing them against the published complete
7 mitogenomes of four species of the genus *Talpa*: *T. aquitania*, *T. europaea*, *T. martinorum*
8 and *T. occidentalis* (Mouchaty *et al.*, 2000; Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2018; Aleix-Mata *et al.*, 2020;
9 Demirtaş *et al.*, 2022).

10 For nuclear DNA, sequences of a 927 bp portion of exon 11 of the *BRCA2* gene were
11 generated from the same 28 moles (Table 1) using the PCR and sequencing protocols
12 described in Demirtaş *et al.* (2020).

13 Sequences were visually inspected for quality and aligned in Sequencher v.4.5 (Gene
14 Codes Corp.). Nucleotide and amino acid composition were analysed and the frequency of
15 each haplotype estimated using DnaSP v.5 (Librado & Rozas, 2009). Genetic diversity
16 estimates were calculated for the concatenated sequences of mitochondrial lineages of the
17 species of interest. Nucleotide (π) and haplotype diversity (Hd) were calculated using
18 Arlequin v.3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010). DNA net and raw divergences (D_a and D_{xy} ; Nei,
19 1987) between taxa, based on both uncorrected p -distances and the Kimura two parameter
20 model (K2P; Kimura, 1980), were estimated using MEGA X (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). Standard
21 errors (SE) were estimated from 10,000 bootstrap replicates.

22 Phylogenetic inference analyses were conducted using Maximum Parsimony (MP),
23 Maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI). MP analysis was performed with
24 PAUP* v.4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002) with the heuristic search approach using the TBR
25 swapping algorithm, steepest descent option and ten random repetitions. Confidence in the

1 nodes of MP trees was assessed by 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein, 1985). Three
2 descriptive statistics for MP trees (tree length = TL, consistency index = CI and retention
3 index = RI) were also calculated using PAUP. ML analysis was performed in RAxML
4 v.8.2.X (Stamatakis, 2014) with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. BI analysis was performed in
5 MrBayes v.3.2.7a (Ronquist *et al.*, 2012) and involved four Markov chains of 10 (for *cyt-b*
6 data) or five (for *BRCA2* data) million generations each, with trees being sampled every 100
7 generations and a burn-in of 25%. Tracer v.1.7.1 (Rambaut *et al.*, 2018) was used to observe
8 the parameters and to determine the number of trees needed to reach stationarity (burn-in).
9 After discarding burn-in trees and evaluating convergence, remaining samples were retained
10 for generating 50% majority rule consensus trees and calculating the posterior probabilities as
11 the percentage of samples recovering any particular clade. Best-fit models of nucleotide
12 substitution were estimated under AIC criterion in jModelTest2 (Darriba *et al.*, 2012) with
13 default settings. For phylogenetic analysis of *cyt-b* data, we included all newly described
14 haplotypes and 88 complete *Talpa cyt-b* sequences from GenBank. Since some *BRCA2*
15 sequences in GenBank were shorter than ours, or contained unresolved positions, a separate
16 truncated alignment (656 bp) was prepared for phylogenetic analyses including these
17 sequences (Table 1; Supporting Information, Table S1).

18 Cyt-*b* sequences of representatives of the tribe Desmanini (*Desmana moschata*
19 (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Galemys pyrenaicus* (Geoffroy, 1811) and *BRCA2* sequences of
20 representatives of tribe Talpini (*Euroscaptor longirostris* (Milne-Edwards, 1870),
21 *Oreoscaptor mizura* (Günther, 1880), *Mogera robusta* (Nehring, 1891) and *Parascaptor*
22 *leucura* (Blyth, 1850)) were used as outgroups in the phylogenetic analyses, following He *et*
23 *al.* (2014) and Bannikova *et al.* (2015) (Supporting Information, Table S1). For the *cyt-b* data
24 set, we used two tree topology tests (Shimodaira-Hasegawa Test (SH; Shimodaira &
25 Hasegawa, 1999) and the Approximately Unbiased Test (AU; Shimodaira, 2002)), using IQ-

1 TREE (Trifinopoulos *et al.*, 2016) to evaluate topological congruence between ML, BI and
2 MP trees. These were conducted using 10,000 resamplings using the log-likelihood (RELL)
3 method (Kishino *et al.*, 1990) to evaluate congruence at P -values <0.05 .

4 **Species delimitation analyses**

5 Based on our dataset of 108 *Talpa* *cyt-b* sequences (Table 1; Supporting Information,
6 Table S1), we used three DNA-based species delimitation approaches to define species
7 partitions, considering previously described species and our newly discovered lineages in the
8 genus: Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning (ASAP; Puillandre *et al.*, 2021), multi-
9 rate Poisson Tree Processes (mPTP; Kapli *et al.*, 2017), and the Generalized Mixed Yule
10 Coalescent (GMYC; Pons *et al.*, 2006; Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013). ASAP is a recently
11 developed distance-based method, similar to the Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD;
12 Puillandre *et al.*, 2012). It uses threshold values to distinguish between interspecific
13 divergence and intraspecific variation, and circumscribes species partitions using a
14 hierarchical clustering algorithm based on pairwise genetic distances from single-locus
15 sequence alignments (e.g., barcode data sets). ASAP also provides a list of partitions
16 (grouped by species groups), ranked to identify the best-fitting set of partitions; the lower the
17 ASAP score, the better fitting the partition (Puillandre *et al.*, 2021). ASAP analysis was
18 performed using a multiple sequence alignment of the *cyt-b* gene, uploaded to the ASAP
19 online portal (<https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html>). Divergence distances
20 were tested for all three applicable models of nucleotide evolution offered by the ASAP:
21 Jukes-Cantor (JC69), K2P and p -distance. mPTP is a single-locus coalescent-based method,
22 requiring a phylogenetic tree as input, to identify the transition between species- and
23 population-level divergence, assuming a constant speciation rate with different intraspecific
24 coalescent rates. This method determines the number of species that best fits the data,
25 assuming that the branch lengths of each putative species may form different clusters (Kapli

1 *et al.*, 2017). For this analysis, the Newick-formatted ML tree from RAxML was used as
2 input on the mPTP web server (<http://mptp.h-its.org/#/tree>). GMYC is also a tree-based
3 delimitation method, based on the Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent. It requires as input an
4 ultrametric gene tree, with branching rates based on clock-like assumptions. It then uses a
5 likelihood approach to analyse the timing of branching events on a phylogenetic tree,
6 searching for the most likely switch between a Yule (interspecific) and a coalescent
7 (intraspecific) branching structure (Pons *et al.*, 2006; Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013).
8 Empirical data suggest that GMYC provides the best results when combined with BEAST
9 ultrametric trees (Tang *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, single locus ultrametric trees were
10 constructed using a Bayesian approach in BEAST v.2.6.6 (Bouckaert *et al.*, 2014), with a
11 lognormal relaxed clock, Yule tree prior (being used for species-level data), GTR+G+I
12 substitution model, and all other priors set to defaults. Analyses were performed for 100
13 million generations, sampling trees every 1,000 generations, and BEAST log files examined
14 in Tracer to assess convergence and adequate posterior sampling (ESS > 200). A maximum
15 clade credibility tree was estimated in TreeAnnotator v.1.10.4 (Suchard *et al.*, 2018) based on
16 the sampled trees after discarding the first 25% generations as burn-in. The ultrametric
17 phylogeny recovered with BEAST was then used to perform GMYC analysis, implemented
18 in the R package *Split* (<http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/splits/>) with a single threshold
19 GMYC model (Reid & Carstens, 2012).

20 **Geometric morphometric analysis**

21 Geometric morphometric analysis was performed to test the morphological
22 distinctiveness of the new taxa proposed in this paper: *Talpa davidiana davidiana*, ***T. d.***
23 ***tatvanensis ssp. nov.*** and ***T. hakkariensis sp. nov.***, together with *T. streetorum* from Iran.
24 The animals comprising these taxa were previously classified as a single morphologically
25 variable species, *T. davidiana*, by Kryštufek *et al.* (2001), which was later subdivided into

1 two subspecies *T. d. davidiana* and *T. d. streetorum* (Kryštufek & Vohralik 2005). Geometric
2 morphometric analysis was performed on the skull (ventral view) and mandible (lateral view)
3 of the newly collected material and the type series of *T. streetorum*, housed in the Field
4 Museum of Natural History, Chicago (FMNH; Fig. 1; Table 1). Fifteen landmarks were
5 placed on images of the skulls to capture shape variation in the dental arcade, palatal, and
6 basicranial areas and 15 placed on images of the mandible to capture the shape of the dental
7 arcade, mandibular body, and the coronoid, condylar, and angular processes (Supporting
8 Information, Fig. S1; Table S2). Only fully adult animals as determined by tooth eruption and
9 cranial bone fusion were included in the analysis. One sample (*T. streetorum*) was heavily
10 biased toward males, so a permutation-based MANOVA was performed on the largest
11 samples, *T. davidiana davidiana* (cranium: M=4, F=6; mandible: M=5, F=6), to test for
12 sexual dimorphism in the shape of the cranium and mandible.

13 Landmark coordinates were collected using ImageJ (Rasband, 2022) scaled in
14 millimetres and are available in TPS format in Supporting Information Files S1 and S2.
15 Following standard geometric morphometric practice (Bookstein, 1991; Dryden & Mardia,
16 1998), landmarks were superimposed using generalized Procrustes analysis (Rohlf & Slice,
17 1990) to remove the effects of size, translation, and rotation. Superimposed landmarks were
18 then converted to shape coordinates by transforming them to scores with principal
19 components analysis (PCA), retaining only the axes with non-zero eigenvalues (Dryden &
20 Mardia, 1998). The data sets in this study are not sample limited, so the number of axes is
21 determined by degrees of freedom from Procrustes superimposition, $2k - 4$, where k is the
22 number of landmarks, two is the number of dimensions in each landmark, and four is the
23 degrees of freedom lost to translation in the x and y dimensions, scaling, and rotation around
24 the centroid (Zelditch *et al.*, 2012), which is 26 for both cranium and mandible.

1 A multivariate morphospace was constructed using PCA based on these analyses. The
2 significance of morphological differences between taxa was tested with a permutation-based
3 MANOVA. All geometric morphometric analysis was performed with the packages
4 *Geometric Morphometrics for Mathematica* v.12.4 (Polly, 2022) and *Phylogenetics for*
5 *Mathematica* v.6.5 (Polly, 2019).

6 Sexual dimorphism is rare in moles, but was nonetheless explored in the relatively
7 large *T. d. tatvanensis* ssp. nov. sample. For the cranial data set, there were 4 males and 6
8 females, and the permutation-based MANOVA revealed no shape differences (df: 1,8,9;
9 F=0.39; P=0.94). Similarly, there were no differences in the mandibular data set comprising 5
10 males and 6 females (df: 1,9,10; F=0.70, P=0.85). Based on these results, sexes were
11 combined in all subsequent analyses.

12

13

1 **Table 1.** Geographic origin of *Talpa* sampled in this and previous studies, with details on the distribution of haplotypes. The frequencies of
2 haplotypes retrieved from GenBank are stated in parentheses. *Cyt-b* haplotypes (Hap.1-20, GenBank accession numbers: OQ695509–OQ695528)
3 and *BRCA2* haplotypes (Hap.1-8, GenBank accession numbers: OQ695501–OQ695508) were new to this study. * When we aligned our *BRCA2*
4 haplotypes with the shorter (656 bp) published haplotypes, our *T. davidiana* haplotypes (Hap.4–6) and that (KP717132) in Bannikova *et al.* (2015)
5 became identical, giving us a single *BRCA2* haplotype in *T. davidiana* in the final dataset used for phylogenetic analyses. Locality codes correspond
6 to Fig. 1. See Supporting Information, Table S1 for sequences from other taxa used in phylogenetic analyses. Abbreviations used for collections:
7 OMU-IG/SD, personal collection of İ. Gündüz and S. Demirtaş, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun,
8 Turkey; FMNH, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, USA. † The last four (OMU-IG/SD collection) or five/six (FMNH collection) digits
9 of the museum collection numbers were used to identify individual specimens in geometric morphometric analysis (e.g., see Figs 7-10, 13, S1-S3;
10 Table S3; Files S1-S2).

Taxon	Locality	Locality code	n	Museum collection no [†]	Molecular		Geometric morphometric		
					Haplotype (<i>Cyt-b</i>)	Haplotype* (<i>BRCA2</i>)	Skull	Mandible	Reference
	TURKEY								
<i>Talpa davidiana davidiana</i>	Gaziantep								
	Karagöz yaylası, Islahiye	1	1	OMU-IG/SD-1564 (Topotype)	Hap.1	Hap.4	+	+	
	Hatay								
	Çardak yaylası, Hassa	2	1	OMU-IG/SD-1565 (Topotype)	Hap.1	Hap.4	+	+	
	Kahramanmaraş								
	Andırın	3	1	OMU-IG/SD-1566	Hap.2	Hap.6	+	+	
	Osmaniye								
Bağdaş yaylası, Sumbas	4	1	OMU-IG/SD-1448	Hap.3	Hap.4	+	+		
Afşar mevki, Esenli, Sumbas	5	2	OMU-IG/SD-1449	Hap.4	Hap.4	+	+		
				OMU-IG/SD-1450	Hap.4	Hap.4	+	+	

	Esenli, Sumbas	6	3	OMU-IG/SD-1451	Hap.5	Hap.4	-	-	
				OMU-IG/SD-1482	Hap.5	Hap.4	-	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1483	Hap.6	Hap.5	+	+	
	Uzunyazı yaylası, Kadirli	7	1	OMU-IG/SD-1484	Hap.7	Hap.4	+	+	
	Yirce yaylası, Sumbas	8	2	OMU-IG/SD-1485	Hap.7	Hap.4	+	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1486	Hap.8	Hap.4	+	+	
<i>Talpa davidiana tatvanensis</i> ssp. nov.	Bitlis								
	Uslu, Tatvan	9	3	OMU-IG/SD-1491	Hap.9	Hap.4	+	-	
				OMU-IG/SD-1492	Hap.10	Hap.4	+	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1530 (Holotype)	Hap.10	Hap.4	+	+	
	Kurtikan, Tatvan	10	2	FMNH 82136	-	-	+	+	Lay, 1965
				FMNH 82137	-	-	+	+	Lay, 1965
	Çataklı Köyü, Tatvan	11	5	-	KP717363 (3)	KP717132	-	-	Bannikova <i>et al.</i> , 2015
				-	KP717364 (2)		-	-	Bannikova <i>et al.</i> , 2015
<i>Talpa hakkariensis</i> sp. nov.	Hakkari								
	Bilmizit yaylası	12	1	OMU-IG/SD-1487	Hap.11	Hap.8	+	+	
	Cemşililan yaylası	13	6	OMU-IG/SD-1488	Hap.11	Hap.8	+	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1532	Hap.11	Hap.8	+	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1489	Hap.12	Hap.8	+	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1490	Hap.13	Hap.8	+	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1531	Hap.14	Hap.7	+	+	
				OMU-IG/SD-1533 (Holotype)	Hap.15	Hap.7	+	+	
<i>Talpa ognevi</i>	Artvin								
	Şavşat	14	1	OMU-IG/SD-1539	Hap.20	Hap.3			
<i>Talpa levantis</i>	Trabzon								
	Taşköprü, Altındere, Maçka	15	3	OMU-IG/SD-1504	Hap.16	Hap.1	-	-	
				OMU-IG/SD-1506	Hap.16	Hap.1	-	-	
				OMU-IG/SD-1505	Hap.17	Hap.1	-	-	
<i>Talpa martinorum</i>	İstanbul								
	Kağıthane	16	1	OMU-IG/SD-1357	Hap.18	Hap.2	-	-	
	Avcılar	17	1	OMU-IG/SD-1440	Hap.19	Hap.2	-	-	
	İRAN								

<i>Talpa streetorum</i>	Hezar Darreh	18	4	FMNH 96421	-	-	+	+	Lay, 1965
				FMNH 96423	-	-	+	+	Lay, 1965
				FMNH 96424 (Holotype)	-	-	+	+	Lay, 1965
				FMNH 96425	-	-	+	+	Lay, 1965

	Divandarreh	19	1	FMNH 111007	-	-	+	+	Lay, 1965
--	--------------------	----	---	-------------	---	---	---	---	-----------

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

1 RESULTS

2 Phylogenetic analysis of the *cyt-b* data

3 The entire *cyt-b* gene (1140 bp) was sequenced from 28 moles from 15 Turkish
4 localities, revealing 20 unique haplotypes for the following *Talpa* species: *T. davidiana* (15
5 individuals from nine localities, 10 haplotypes, Hap.1–10); *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.** (seven
6 individuals from two localities, 5 haplotypes, Hap.11–15); *T. levantis* (three individuals from
7 one locality, 2 haplotypes, Hap.16–17); *T. martinorum* (two individuals from two localities, 2
8 haplotypes, Hap.18–19) and *T. ognevi* (one individual from one locality, 1 haplotype,
9 Hap.20) (Fig. 1; Table 1). The full *cyt-b* data set used for phylogenetic analyses contained 20
10 new and 88 published *Talpa* haplotypes, plus four outgroup haplotypes (Table 1; Supporting
11 Information, Table S1). The alignment of ingroup haplotypes revealed 432 variable sites, of
12 which 399 were parsimony informative, with 291 synonymous and 27 non-synonymous
13 changes across all taxa. For the *cyt-b* data set, the best-fit model selected by jModelTest
14 under AIC was GTR, with gamma correction and proportion of invariable sites (GTR+G+I),
15 and this was employed in subsequent phylogenetic analyses (BI and ML).

16 Maximum parsimony analysis yielded a single most parsimonious tree (TL = 1724, CI
17 = 0.3588, RI = 0.8726). ML analysis and Bayesian analysis resulted in a similar topology to
18 MP analysis (*P*-value > 0.05 for both SH and AU tests), with minor differences among trees
19 in the positioning of specific terminal branches within taxa. Most putative species identified
20 through species delimitation analyses, including the newly described *T. hakkariensis* **sp.**
21 **nov.**, were recovered as monophyletic, with strong nodal support in BI, ML and MP trees;
22 posterior values (BI) and bootstrap support (ML and MP) obtained for each node were 1.0
23 and 100% for all main clades (i.e., defined species). Branches leading to *T. altaica* and *T.*
24 *caucasica* + *T. ognevi* were placed as the first two dichotomies within the genus, although
25 only highly supported in BI analysis (Fig. 2). In all trees, *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.** is

1 recovered as sister to a clade comprising *T. davidiana* plus *T. talyschensis* within the
2 ‘*davidiana*’ group (Bannikova *et al.*, 2015) with high posterior probability (1.0) but with
3 moderate bootstrap support (ML, 74%).

4 Our phylogenetic analyses revealed two distinct sub-clades within *T. davidiana* for
5 the first time. The first, composed of Hap.1–8, including one haplotype (Hap.1) found in two
6 almost topotypical specimens of *Talpa davidiana* from Gaziantep and Hatay, corresponds to
7 the nominotypical subspecies *Talpa davidiana davidiana*, whereas the second sub-clade,
8 composed of Hap. 9–10 and KP717363–KP717364 identified in specimens collected in
9 Tatvan-Bitlis (Fig. 1; Table 1), corresponds to a new subspecies, *Talpa davidiana*
10 *tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.**, which is described below. *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.** showed a haplotype
11 diversity (H_d) of 0.8571 +/- 0.1371 and nucleotide diversity (π) of 0.2598% +/- 0.1767%
12 while *T. davidiana* showed a haplotype diversity (H_d) of 0.9526 +/- 0.10252 and nucleotide
13 diversity (π) of 1.4394% +/- 0.7477%. Of the two subspecies of *T. davidiana*, *T. d. davidiana*
14 exhibited a haplotype diversity (H_d) of 0.9333 +/- 0.0620 and nucleotide diversity (π) of
15 0.2444% +/- 0.1594%, whereas *T. d. tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** exhibited a haplotype diversity
16 (H_d) of 0.8667 +/- 0.0714 and nucleotide diversity (π) of 1.1396 % +/- 0.6349%.

17 In line with previous studies (Kryštufek *et al.*, 2018; Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020; Kefelioğlu *et al.*,
18 2020; Demirtaş *et al.*, 2022), *T. martinorum* was clustered with *T. aquitania*, *T. europaea* and
19 *T. occidentalis* in the same clade with high posterior probability (0.92) but with low bootstrap
20 support. Consistent with the results of our species delimitation analysis (see below), a clear
21 split between *T. levantis* and *T. transcaucasica* was detected in the phylogeny. *T.*
22 *transcaucasica* is much more divergent on *cyt-b* sequences from the two subspecies of *T.*
23 *levantis* than either is from each other (net and raw K2P distances between *T. transcaucasica*
24 (haplotypes KP717334–KP717335, KP717337, FN640570, FN640574) and *T. levantis*
25 *levantis* (haplotypes MN868440–MN868453, KP717339–KP717340, FN640572, Hap.16–17)

1 are 5.88% and 7.32%, respectively; between *T. transcaucasica* and *T. levantis dogramacii*
2 Kefelioğlu, Kryštufek, Selçuk, Hutterer & Astrin, 2020 (haplotypes KP717336, KP717338)
3 5.39% and 6.12%; between *T. levantis levantis* and *T. levantis dogramacii* 2.99% and 3.79%)
4 (see Fig. 2).

5 **Phylogenetic analysis of the *BRCA2* data**

6 A 927 bp portion of exon 11 of *BRCA2* was successfully amplified and sequenced in
7 28 moles from 16 localities, resulting in eight unique haplotypes designated as Hap.1–8, one
8 of which (Hap.1) was found in three individuals of *T. levantis*, one (Hap.2) in two individuals
9 of *T. martinorum*, one (Hap.3) in one individual of *T. ognevi*, three (Hap.4–6) in 15
10 individuals of *T. davidiana*, and two (Hap.7–8) in seven individuals of ***T. hakkariensis sp.***
11 **nov.** (Table 1). Our sequences were assessed against 27 *Talpa BRCA2* sequences retrieved
12 from GenBank. Alignment of our eight *BRCA2* haplotypes with the shorter (656 bp)
13 published haplotypes (see Materials and methods) resulted in two redundant *T. davidiana*
14 haplotypes (Hap.5–6), giving a total of 33 haplotypes in the final dataset (Table 1; Supporting
15 Information, Table S1). These haplotypes had 47 variable nucleotides over 656 bp, 26 of
16 which were parsimony informative. For the *BRCA2* data set, the best-fit model selected by
17 jModelTest under AIC was HKY. The trees obtained in BI, ML and MP analyses yielded
18 very similar topologies (Fig. 3). As in the *cyt-b* phylogeny, *T. davidiana*, *T. talyschensis* and
19 ***T. hakkariensis sp. nov.*** (i.e., the ‘*davidiana*’ group) were more closely related to each other
20 than any were to other species in the genus. The posterior values (BI) and bootstrap support
21 (ML and MP) obtained for the node that unites these three species were 1.0 and 94%. In line
22 with our species delimitation (see below) and phylogenetic analyses based on *cyt-b* data, a
23 clear split between *T. levantis* and *T. transcaucasica* was also detected in the *BRCA2*
24 phylogeny (Fig. 3).

25

1 Molecular species delimitation analysis

2 Results from the three species delimitation models using the *cyt-b* gene are
3 summarized in Fig. 2. In general, the results of the multiple molecular methods were in
4 mutual agreement and identified 15–18 putative species, largely coinciding with recognized
5 phylogenetic lineages inferred from BI, ML and MP topologies, with few exceptions, namely
6 the delimitation of three species into several molecular operational taxonomic units MOTUs
7 (i.e., *T. caeca*, *T. levantis* and *T. transcaucasica*). Despite several instances of incongruence
8 between the results of species delimitation methods, all consistently separated the new
9 species ***T. hakkariensis sp. nov.*** as a distinct entity (Fig. 2). The ASAP analysis retrieved
10 identical MOTU results on each JC69, K2P, and *p*-distance substitution model, which all
11 yielded 15 MOTUs with ASAP scores of 6.00, 5.00, and 5.00, respectively. These 15
12 MOTUs corresponded well to known species, at the threshold distance of 4.41% (K2P),
13 4.43% (JC69) and 4.98% (*p*-distance) with available molecular data: nine species recognized
14 in the most recent revision of the genus (*T. europaea*, *T. altaica*, *T. caeca*, *T. caucasica*, *T.*
15 *davidiana*, *T. levantis*, *T. romana*, *T. occidentalis* and *T. stankovici*), two recently described
16 species (*T. aquitania* and *T. martinorum*), three recently split species (*T. talyschensis*, *T.*
17 *transcaucasica* and *T. ognevi*) and the new species we describe below (***T. hakkariensis sp.***
18 ***nov.***). In contrast to the ASAP results, the GMYC analysis grouped *Talpa* *cyt-b* sequences
19 into 16 MOTUs, retrieving one more MOTU that corresponds to a recently described
20 sublineage of *T. levantis* (i.e., haplotypes KP717336 and KP717338), corresponding to *T. l.*
21 *dogramacii* from western Anatolia (Kefelioğlu *et al.*, 2020) (Fig. 2). Relative to ASAP and
22 GMYC methods, the mPTP model was the least conservative and resulted in 18 MOTUs,
23 fragmenting some species into several individual clusters. The three additional MOTUs
24 observed with the mPTP method resulted from highly divergent haplotypes in *T. caeca*
25 (haplotype FN640559), *T. levantis* (haplotypes KP717336 and KP717338), and *T.*

1 *transcaucasica* (haplotype FN640570) (Fig. 2). None of the analyses suggested that the two
2 subspecies of *T. davidiana* recognised here were separate species.

3 **Geometric morphometric analysis**

4 All four taxa were completely distinct in mandibular shape, with no overlap amongst
5 groups and also having relatively distinct cranial shapes, albeit with some overlap,
6 particularly between *T. hakkariensis sp. nov.* and *T. d. tatvanensis ssp. nov.* (Fig. 4;
7 Supporting Information, Figs S2, S3). Separation amongst groups in cranial shape was
8 strongest on PC1 (23.4% variance), which describes a narrower rostrum and more posteriorly
9 positioned braincase (which can also be geometrically interpreted as a longer rostrum) in the
10 two *T. davidiana* taxa relative to *T. streetorum* and *T. hakkariensis sp. nov.* (Supporting
11 Information, Fig. S4). PC1 of mandibular shape explains 28.2% of the variance in that data
12 set and separates *T. d. davidiana* from *T. streetorum* in having more anteriorly and dorsally
13 positioned mandibular foramina, a broader angular process, and a more anteriorly inclined
14 coronoid process. PC2 of mandibular shape (18.9% variance) separates *T. hakkariensis sp.*
15 **nov.** from the other three taxa in having mandibular foramina that are closer to each other and
16 narrower angular and coronoid processes (Supporting Information, Fig. S4, S6B).

17 Based on the MANOVA with permutation tests, skull shape was different overall
18 amongst groups ($P=0.00$, $R^2 = 26.9$), and all taxa were different from each other except *T. d.*
19 *tatvanensis ssp. nov.*, which failed significance tests with all other taxa due to both
20 morphological overlap and smaller sample size (Supporting Information, Fig. S5A). Tests for
21 mandibular shape were also different overall ($P=0.00$, $R^2=38.4$), as were the pairwise
22 between-taxa tests except for *T. d. davidiana* and *T. d. tatvanensis ssp. nov.*, which failed
23 largely due to small sample size rather than a lack of morphometric distinctiveness (Fig. 4;
24 Supporting Information, Fig. S5B). Taxa are also distinctively different in the size of crania
25 and mandibles, as measured with geometric morphometric centroid size (Supporting

1 Information, Fig. S5C, D). For both data sets, the size ordering is (smallest to largest): *T. d.*
2 *dauidiana*, *T. d. tatvanensis* ssp. nov., *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. and *T. streetorum* (although
3 in mandibles, the latter two species are co-equal in size).

4 In terms of the shape of the cranium, there is a gradient (PC 1) in which the palate
5 becomes more elongated and the lateral projection of the braincase becomes more posteriorly
6 deflected from *T. streetorum* to *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov., *T. d. tatvanensis* ssp. nov., and *T.*
7 *d. dauidiana* (Supporting Information, Figs. 4, S4, S6A). The shape of the mandible of *T.*
8 *hakkariensis* sp. nov. differs from *T. streetorum* in having the posteriormost mandibular
9 foramen positioned more anteriorly and having a coronoid process that is narrower in
10 anterior-posterior breadth and inclined more anteriorly (Supporting Information, Fig. S6B).
11 Both of these morphometric differences and the MANOVA tests indicate that these two taxa
12 are distinctively different from each other morphologically.

13 **Taxonomy**

14 Here we describe two new mole taxa, and provide redescriptions or diagnoses for two
15 others (*Talpa dauidiana dauidiana* and *T. streetorum*). Exact label data are cited for
16 specimens; double slashes (//) indicating separate lines.

17 ***Talpa hakkariensis* sp. nov.**

18 **Zoobank registration:** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:58C51143-81D5-45C3-BC16-
19 381429ADCE74

20 Type locality: Turkey, Hakkari Province, Cemşililan yaylası ca. 8 km NNE of Durankaya,
21 37°38'N 43°37'E, 2,700-2,980 m (Figs 1, 5A, Table 1).

22 Holotype. Adult female, specimen no. 1533, stuffed skin labelled “13/08/21-1533 ♀ IG/SD//
23 *Talpa hakkariensis* sp. nov.// Cemşililan yaylası, Hakkari// 160-31-21 = 73.84 g.// 13,
24 August, 2021” Body frozen at -50°C, internal organs in ethanol, skull extracted and labelled

1 with specimen number (Fig. 6A). Collected by İ. Gündüz and S. Demirtaş. Sequences
2 available from GenBank: (cyt-*b* haplotype: Hap.15, Accession number OQ695523; *BRCA2*
3 haplotype: Hap.7, Accession number OQ695507); standard voucher specimens (skin, frozen
4 body, skull, and various tissues in ethanol) are deposited in the Department of Biology,
5 Faculty of Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University (OMU-IG/SD), Samsun, Turkey.

6 Paratypes (6). 1 male, specimen no. 1487, stuffed skin labelled “10/06/21-1487 ♂ IG/SD//
7 *Talpa hakkariensis* sp. nov.// Bilmizit yaylası-Hakkari, 37°36’N 43°38’E // 164-28-20 =
8 73.05g.// 10, June, 2021”; 1 male, specimen no. 1488, stuffed skin labelled “10/06/21-1488 ♂
9 IG/SD// *Talpa hakkariensis* sp. nov.// Cemşililan yaylası-Hakkari// 166-30-20 = 77.38g.// 10,
10 June, 2021”; 1 male, specimen no. 1489, stuffed skin labelled “10/06/21-1489 ♂ IG/SD//
11 *Talpa hakkariensis* sp. nov.// Cemşililan yaylası-Hakkari// 170-31-21 = 71.25g.// 10, June,
12 2021”; 1 male, specimen no. 1490, stuffed skin labelled “10/6/21-1490 ♂ IG/SD// *Talpa*
13 *hakkariensis* sp. nov.// Cemşililan yaylası-Hakkari// 165-29-21 = 67.96g.// 10, June, 2021; 1
14 female, specimen no. 1531, stuffed skin labelled “11/08/21-1531 ♀ IG/SD// *Talpa*
15 *hakkariensis* sp. nov.// Cemşililan yaylası-Hakkari// 147-33-21 = 60.34g.// 11, August,
16 2021”; 1 female, specimen no. 1532, stuffed skin labelled “12/08/21-1532 ♀ IG/SD// *Talpa*
17 *hakkariensis* sp. nov.// Cemşililan yaylası-Hakkari// 154-30-21 = 67.39g.// 12. August,
18 2021”. Bodies frozen at -50°C, internal organs in ethanol, skulls extracted and labelled with
19 specimen numbers. Sequences available from GenBank: (cyt-*b* haplotypes: Hap.11–14,
20 Accession numbers OQ695519– OQ695522; *BRCA2* haplotypes: Hap.7–8, Accession
21 numbers OQ695507– OQ695508); standard voucher specimens (skins, frozen body, skulls,
22 and various tissues in ethanol) are deposited in the Department of Biology, Faculty of
23 Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University (OMU-IG/SD), Samsun, Turkey.

24 **Measurements of holotype.** Body mass 73.84 g, head and body length 160 mm, tail length
25 31 mm, hind-foot length 21 mm, condylobasal length of skull 33.40 mm, maxillary tooth row

1 14.93 mm, breadth of braincase 16.90 mm, height of braincase 9.29 mm, breadth of rostrum
2 over canines 5.02 mm, breadth of rostrum over molars 9.30 mm (Supporting Information,
3 Table S3).

4 **Measurements of paratypes.** Means±standard deviation (minimum–maximum). Linear
5 measurements are in mm; body mass in grams. Sample size = 6 for all measurements. Body
6 mass 69.56±5.30 (60.34–77.38), head and body length 161.00±7.920 (147.0–170.0), tail
7 length 30.17±1.570 (28.0–33.0), hind foot 20.67±0.471 (20.0–21.0), condylobasal length of
8 skull 32.88±0.421 (32.10–33.24), maxillary tooth row 14.15±0.142 (13.88–14.31), breadth of
9 braincase 16.30±0.348 (15.8–16.88), height of braincase 9.31±0.102 (9.20–9.48), breadth of
10 rostrum over canines 5.24±0.279 (4.88–5.59), breadth of rostrum over molars 9.86±0.442
11 (9.24–10.4) (Supporting Information, Table S3).

12 **Diagnosis.** Very large mole; skull large with a stout, broad rostrum; dental formula of C3/3
13 I1/1 P4/4 M3/3. A member of the subgenus *Talpa*, closest to *T. davidiana* and *T. streetorum*
14 morphologically and *T. davidiana* and *T. talyschensis* genetically (Figs 2-3, 6). Distinguished
15 from both *T. davidiana* and *T. talyschensis* by the larger body size (***T. hakkariensis* sp. nov.**
16 body mass 60.34-77.38 g, head and body length 147-170 mm; *T. davidiana* body mass 34.12-
17 52.87 g, head and body length 128-153 mm; *T. talyschensis* body mass 31-49 g, head and
18 body length 104-114 mm; (Fig. 6A)). Condylobasal length of skull in ***T. hakkariensis* sp.**
19 **nov.** also consistently greater, 32.10-33.40 mm vs. 29.04-31.27 in *T. davidiana* studied here,
20 and a mean of 31.10 mm in *T. talyschensis* (Zaitsev *et al.*, 2014). The new species also differs
21 from *T. davidiana davidiana* on: the broader, blunter rostrum (9.24-10.40 mm over the
22 molars in ***T. hakkariensis* sp. nov.** vs. 8.35-9.87 mm in *T. davidiana*; Figs 7-10); typically
23 four rather than three premolars in each maxilla (Figs 7-10 – only one of the 9 *T. davidiana*
24 *davidiana* specimens we examined had four premolars – see also Kryštufek *et al.*, 2001); 1st
25 upper molar with small, reduced parastyle, always much more prominent in *T. davidiana*

1 *dauidiana* (Fig. 11A); larger hands and feet (see Fig. 12); and longer (28-33 vs. 16-18 mm)
2 and paler tail, with most tail hairs of more uniform length (compare Fig. 12D-E). The broad
3 snout of the new species resembles that of *T. davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov., but this taxon
4 is much smaller than *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. (see below) and has a relatively prominent
5 parastyle on the first upper molar, as in *T. davidiana davidiana*. Distinguished from *T.*
6 *streetorum* by the latter's larger cranium (see above), in having the posteriormost mandibular
7 foramen positioned more anteriorly and having a coronoid process that is narrower in
8 anterior-posterior breadth and inclined more anteriorly (Supporting Information, Fig. S6B).
9 The net *p* distance in *cyt-b* sequence between *T. davidiana* and *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. is
10 10.50%; raw distance 11.33%. The net and raw K2P distances of 11.79% and 12.64%,
11 respectively, between these taxa is in the range observed between other species of moles
12 (e.g., within *Talpa*, interspecific net and raw K2P distances average ca. 11.47% and 12.61%,
13 respectively). In fact, the net genetic distance in *cyt-b* sequence *T. davidiana* and *T.*
14 *hakkariensis* sp. nov. is much higher than the raw (total) genetic distance between several
15 recognized sister species in *Talpa* (e.g., *T. aquitania* vs *T. occidentalis*, *T. caucasica* vs *T.*
16 *ognevi* and *T. levantis* vs *T. transcaucasica*) (Supporting Information, Table S4, S5).

17 **Description.** A relatively large, heavy mole (Figs. 5B, 6A), with a medium tail; 17.07-
18 22.45% of head and body length. Tail (Fig. 12D) parallel-sided, with sparse, stiff, sub-erect,
19 overlapping bristles; individual bristles mostly pale greyish, almost translucent, up to 25%
20 blackish or with black cores; bristles relatively even in length; tail tip tapered and rounded,
21 visible when bristles are moved. Overall appearance of tail paler than body. Dorsal and
22 ventral pelage greyish brown throughout, with marked silvery sheen; individual hairs
23 typically brownish at tips, greyish below. Body elongated. Palm of manus (Fig. 12A) large,
24 broad, relatively flat; claws at end of all five phalanges, relatively broad and flattened
25 dorsoventrally, with convex upper and concave lower margins, flattened oval in cross-

1 section. Feet (Fig. 12D) large, approximately two-thirds tail length. Muzzle (Figs 5B, 6A)
2 elongated, with hairs, including longer sensory bristles, paler than on head. Snout pinkish
3 with close, fine, small pale hairs.

4 Skull (Fig. 7) large, with a stout, broad rostrum. Width across canines 15.0-17.05%,
5 and width across molars 27.84-31.92% condylobasal length. Maxillary tooth row equals
6 42.36-44.7% of the condylobasal length. The braincase is broad and deep, flattened above;
7 height of neurocranium 27.71-29.12% of condylobasal length. The posterior margin of
8 palatine is usually slightly posterior to the imaginary line connecting the posterior alveolar
9 margins of 3rd upper molars, and the anterior border of the infraorbital foramen is above the
10 2nd upper molar.

11 Upper incisors of decreasing size; 3rd incisor approximately ½ the size of the 1st.
12 Upper molars robust, decreasing in size 1-3. 4th upper premolar largest; 1st intermediate and
13 2nd and 3rd small (Fig. 7). 1st upper molar with very small, almost imperceptible parastyle
14 (Fig. 11A), visible in holotype and some paratypes, but lost in specimens with substantial
15 tooth wear; protocone much larger than paracone; mesostyle relatively flattened at apex, with
16 clear mesal furrow. 2nd upper molar with well-developed parastyle, somewhat bilobed at apex
17 in holotype and most paratypes; protocone only slightly larger than paracone; mesostyle well
18 developed and clearly bilobed at apex. 3rd upper molar with well-developed parastyle, slightly
19 more prominent than mesostyle; mesostyle clearly bilobed at apex; protocone only slightly
20 larger than paracone. Mandible Fig. 13A. Lower incisors subequal. 1st lower premolar large,
21 with prominent distal cusp; 4th lower premolar subequal to 1st; 2nd and 3rd lower premolars
22 much smaller. 1st and 2nd lower molars large; 3rd smaller; all with prominent parastyles.

23 **Etymology.** The new species is named in reference to Hakkari Province, Turkey where the
24 type locality is situated (adjective).

1 **Distribution and ecology.** Known from a handful of geographically close localities in the
2 mountains of Hakkari province, Turkey. Moles were collected from moist soils beside a
3 mountain stream at Cemşililan yaylası (Fig. 5A), in Eastern Anatolian Montane Steppe
4 subject to nomadic grazing, but otherwise with little human influence. Most of the
5 precipitation in this region falls as winter snows. At Bilmizit yaylası, specimen was collected
6 in a similar habitat, but in this case without surface water.

7 **Comment.** This new mole likely corresponds to the specimens from Hakkari referred to *T.*
8 *davidiana* by Kryštufek *et al.* (2001), *T. streetorum* by Doğramacı (1989) and *T. d.*
9 *streetorum* by Kryštufek & Vohralik (2005). Our genetic, morphological, and morphometric
10 data indicate that Hakkari populations represent a distinct species of broad-rostrum mole
11 rather than a subspecies of *T. davidiana*, and our morphometric analysis indicates that it is
12 also distinct from *T. streetorum* (see above). At the time of writing, we have been unable to
13 sequence the type material of *T. streetorum*, or obtain new material from Iran, but consider
14 the morphometric results from our comparison of skulls conclusive. Kryštufek & Vohralik
15 (2005) noted the similarities between the moles from Hakkari and a fossil mole from the Late
16 Pleistocene of Israel, *T. chthonia* Bate, 1937, which they speculated could be conspecific.
17 Because we consider *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.** and *T. streetorum* to be distinct, it is not clear
18 without further analysis which if either would be associated with this fossil but, if conspecific
19 with either, the earlier name *T. chthonia* would have priority.

20 ***Talpa davidiana davidiana* (Milne-Edwards, 1884)**

21 *Scaptochirus davidianus* Milne-Edwards, 1884

22 Type locality: Listed as “aux environs d'Akbès, sur les confins de la Syrie et de l'Asie
23 Mineure” - environs of Akbes at the border between Syria and Asia Minor - by Milne-
24 Edwards (1884). Most likely present-day Akbez, in Hatay Province, Turkey, close to the
25 Syrian border. This seems more likely than Meidan Ekbis (often listed as Meydanakbes or

1 Meydan Akbes) in adjacent Syria (as suggested by Kryštufek *et al.* (2001)), as the Turkish
2 locality is surrounded by mountains and mesic habitats suitable for moles, rather than (semi-)
3 desert. In any event, the two localities are geographically very close, separated by
4 approximately 7 km. The holotype is deposited in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle,
5 Paris, comprising a stuffed skin and dry preserved skull and mandibles.

6 Material examined (Turkey; specimen numbers in parenthesis): Two specimens, almost
7 topotypical to Milne-Edwards' material: 1 female (1565), Hatay Province, Hassa, Çardak
8 yaylası, 36° 50' N, 36° 26' E, 1,457 m (Figs 1, 5C, Table 1); 1 male (1564), Gaziantep
9 Province, İslahiye, Karagöz yaylası, 36° 58' N, 36° 27' E, 1,442 m. Nine additional
10 specimens, with *cyt-b* sequences clustering closely with the above, as follows: 1 male (1566),
11 Kahramanmaraş Province, Andırın, 37° 35' N, 36° 21' E, 1,104 m; 1 male (1450), 1 female
12 (1449), Osmaniye Province, Sumbas, Esenli, Afşar mevki, 37° 37' N, 36° 05' E, 870 m; 1
13 male (1483), 1 female (1482), Osmaniye Province, Sumbas, Esenli, 37° 37' N, 36° 05' E, 870
14 m; 1 female (1484), Osmaniye Province, Kadirli, Uzunyazı yaylası, 37° 42' N, 36° 12' E,
15 1,300 m; 2 females (1485 & 1486), Osmaniye Province, Sumbas, Yırce yaylası, 37° 40' N,
16 36° 08' E, 1,710 m; 1 male (1448), Osmaniye Province, Sumbas, Bağdaş yaylası, 37° 40' N,
17 36° 10' E, 1,255 m. Specimens 1482 and 1483, external measurements only, lost to farm dog;
18 all seven remaining with stuffed skins preserved dry, bodies frozen at -50°C, internal organs
19 in ethanol, skulls extracted and labelled with specimen numbers.

20 **Diagnosis.** Medium-sized mole; skull relatively large with a stout, broad, rostrum. A member
21 of the subgenus *Talpa*, closest to *T. davidiana tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.**, morphologically and
22 genetically (Figs 2-3, 6). For morphological characters distinguishing the two subspecies, see
23 *T. davidiana tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** below. For characters separating it from *T. hakkariensis*
24 **sp. nov.** see under that species. Differs from *T. streetorum* on its smaller size, narrower
25 rostrum and more posteriorly positioned braincase. The net and raw *cyt-b* K2P distances of

1 1.52% and 2.19%, respectively, between *T. davidiana davidiana* and *T. davidiana*
2 *tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** is relatively low, leading us to consider these taxa as geographically
3 isolated subspecies, rather than species.

4 **Redescription.** A medium-sized mole (Figs 5D, 6B), body mass 34.12-52.87 g and head and
5 body length 128-145 mm in specimens examined. With a relatively short tail; 11.27-13.24%
6 of head and body length (Supporting Information, Table S3). Tail (Fig. 12E) parallel-sided,
7 with sparse, stiff, sub-erect, overlapping bristles; individual bristles mostly blackish or with
8 black cores, ca. 20-25% greyish brown, translucent; bristles considerably variable in length,
9 giving a bushy appearance; tail tip tapering and rounded, visible when bristles are moved.
10 Overall appearance of tail similar colour to body. Dorsal and ventral pelage greyish brown
11 throughout, with noticeable silvery sheen, particularly laterally and ventrally; individual hairs
12 typically brownish at tips, greyish below. Body elongated. Palm of manus (Fig. 12B) broad,
13 relatively flat; claws at end of all five phalanges, flattened dorsoventrally, with convex upper
14 and slightly concave lower margins, flattened oval in cross-section. Feet (Fig. 12E) approx.
15 equal to tail length. Muzzle (Figs 5D, 6B) elongated, with hairs, including longer sensory
16 bristles, much paler than on head. Snout pinkish with close, fine, small pale hairs.

17 Skull (Fig. 8) moderate, with a relatively stout, broad rostrum. Width across canines
18 13.56-14.71%, and width across molars 28.12-29.54% condylobasal length. Maxillary tooth
19 row equals 40.66-42.97% of the condylobasal length. The braincase is broad and deep,
20 flattened above; height of neurocranium 28.50-30.79% of condylobasal length. The posterior
21 margin of palatine is usually slightly posterior to the imaginary line connecting the posterior
22 alveolar margins of 3rd upper molars, and the anterior border of the infraorbital foramen is
23 above the 2nd upper molar.

1 Upper incisors of decreasing size; 3rd incisor slightly less than ½ the size of the 1st.
2 Usually with 3, rarely 4, upper premolars. 3rd upper premolar largest; 1st intermediate and 2nd
3 smallest (Fig. 8). Upper molars robust, decreasing in size 1-3. 1st upper molar with well-
4 developed parastyle (Fig. 11B), smaller in specimens with substantial tooth wear; protocone
5 much larger than paracone; mesostyle relatively flattened at apex, slightly bifurcated. 2nd
6 upper molar with well-developed parastyle; protocone larger than paracone; mesostyle well
7 developed and clearly bilobed at apex. 3rd upper molar with well-developed parastyle, slightly
8 more prominent than mesostyle; mesostyle bilobed at apex; protocone larger than paracone.
9 Mandible Fig. 13B. Lower incisors 1, 2 & 4 subequal; 3 smaller. 1st lower premolar large,
10 with prominent distal cusp; 3rd lower premolar subequal to 1st; 2nd lower premolar much
11 smaller. 1st and 2nd lower molars large; 3rd smaller; all with prominent parastyles.

12 **Distribution and ecology.** Known with certainty only from localities in Hatay, Gaziantep,
13 Kahramanmaraş and Osmaniye Provinces, in the extreme southeast of Turkey. Rather than
14 being found in the Syrian Desert, the type material was also probably collected in Hatay
15 Province (see above). Records from Bitlis (Kryštufek *et al.*, 2001; Bannikova *et al.*, 2015)
16 and Hakkari (Kryštufek *et al.*, 2001) Provinces likely refer to *T. davidiana tatvanensis* **ssp.**
17 **nov.** and *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, respectively. Moles were trapped in agricultural areas,
18 including an orchard, village grazing lands and small residual marshes.

19 **Comment.** Whilst we did not directly examine the type specimen, our Hatay and Gaziantep
20 animals were collected within a maximum of 10 and 17 km of Milne-Edwards' type locality,
21 respectively, on the same side of the Amanos Mountains and are therefore effectively
22 topotypical. Their crania and mandibles closely resemble high-resolution digital photos of the
23 holotype available online at [https://science.mnhn.fr/taxon/species/talpa/davidiana#milne-](https://science.mnhn.fr/taxon/species/talpa/davidiana#milne-edwards%2C_1884)
24 [edwards%2C_1884](https://science.mnhn.fr/taxon/species/talpa/davidiana#milne-edwards%2C_1884); albeit that specimen exhibiting substantial tooth wear. Ours are the first
25 specimens of *T. davidiana davidiana* to be DNA sequenced.

1 ***Talpa davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov.**

2 **Zoobank registration:** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9DAEF970-8067-4BC9-A3FF-

3 40A1BC6EAA68

4 Type locality: Turkey, Bitlis Province, Uslu, Tatvan ca. 1 km E of Kaynarca, 38° 23' N, 42°
5 37' E, 1,735 m (Figs 1, 5E, Table 1).

6 Holotype. Adult male, specimen no. 1530, stuffed skin labelled “10/08/21-1530 ♂ IG/SD//
7 *Talpa davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov.// Uslu, Tatvan, Bitlis// 137-17-19 = 45.25 g.// 10,
8 August, 2021” Body frozen at -50°C, internal organs in ethanol, skull extracted and labelled
9 with specimen number (Fig. 6C). Collected by İ. Gündüz and S. Demirtaş. Sequences
10 available from GenBank: (cyt-*b* haplotype: Hap.10, Accession number OQ695518; *BRCA2*
11 haplotype: Hap.4, Accession number OQ695504); Standard voucher specimens (skin, frozen
12 body, skull, and various tissues in ethanol) are deposited in the Department of Biology,
13 Faculty of Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University (OMU-IG/SD), Samsun, Turkey

14 Paratypes (2). 1 female, specimen no. 1491, stuffed skin labelled “11/06/21-1491 ♀ IG/SD//
15 *Talpa davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov.// Uslu, Tatvan, Bitlis // 148-18-17 = 46.95g.// 11, June,
16 2021”; 1 female, specimen no. 1492, stuffed skin labelled “11/06/21-1492 ♀ IG/SD// *Talpa*
17 *davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov.// Uslu, Tatvan, Bitlis // 153-19-18 = 48.01g.// 11, June, 2021.
18 Bodies frozen at -50°C, internal organs in ethanol, skulls extracted and labelled with
19 specimen numbers. Sequences available from GenBank: (cyt-*b* haplotypes: Hap.9–10,
20 Accession numbers OQ695517– OQ695518; *BRCA2* haplotype: Hap.4, Accession number
21 OQ695504); standard voucher specimens (skins, frozen body, skulls, and various tissues in
22 ethanol) are deposited in the Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs
23 University (OMU-IG/SD), Samsun, Turkey.

1 **Measurements of holotype.** Body mass 45.25 g, head and body length 137 mm, tail length
2 17 mm, hind-foot length 19 mm, condylobasal length of cranium 31.19 mm, maxillary tooth
3 row 12.94 mm, breadth of braincase 15.20 mm, height of braincase 9.58 mm, breadth of
4 rostrum over canines 4.76 mm, breadth of rostrum over molars 9.72 mm (Supporting
5 Information, Table S3).

6 **Measurements of paratypes.** Linear measurements are in mm and body mass in grams.
7 Body mass 46.95–48.01, head and body length 148.0–153.0, tail length 18.0–19.0, hind foot
8 17.0–18.0, condylobasal length of cranium 30.16–31.03, maxillary tooth row 12.71–13.14,
9 breadth of braincase 14.96–15.16, height of braincase 9.07–9.16, breadth of rostrum over
10 canines 4.69–4.75, breadth of rostrum over molars 9.59–9.87 (Supporting Information, Table
11 S3 for measurements including additional FMNH specimens 82136 and 82137).

12 **Diagnosis.** Medium-sized mole; skull relatively large with a stout, broad, rostrum,
13 particularly broad and robust over molars. A member of the subgenus *Talpa*, closest to *T.*
14 *dauidiana dauidiana*, morphologically and genetically (Figs 2-3, 6). Differs from *T.*
15 *hakkariensis* **sp. nov.** on its smaller size and characters discussed under *T. hakkariensis* **sp.**
16 **nov.** Differs from *T. streetorum* on its smaller size, narrower rostrum and more posteriorly
17 positioned braincase. Distinguished from *T. dauidiana dauidiana* primarily by the broader,
18 more strongly arcuate rostral base over the molars (compare Figs 8 & 9). Rostral breadth over
19 molars 8.35–8.94 mm in nine *T. dauidiana dauidiana* examined (including two almost
20 topotypical specimens from Hatay Province), vs. 9.59–9.87 mm in *T. dauidiana tatvanensis*
21 **ssp. nov.**; rostrum also broader over canines, but differences less obvious (4.69–4.76 mm in
22 *T. dauidiana tatvansensis* **ssp. nov.** vs. 4.09–4.45 in *T. dauidiana dauidiana*). *T. dauidiana*
23 *tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** also has a somewhat paler tail than *T. dauidiana dauidiana*, with most
24 tail hairs greyish brown (compare Fig. 12E & F). The head and body pelage of the new
25 subspecies is also slightly paler overall than in specimens of *T. dauidiana dauidiana*

1 examined (see Fig. 6B & C). The net *cyt-b p* distance between these subspecies is 1.52%; raw
2 distance 2.15%. The net and raw *cyt-b* K2P distances of 1.52% and 2.19%, respectively,
3 between *T. davidiana davidiana* and *T. davidiana tatvanensis ssp. nov.* is relatively low,
4 leading us to consider this taxon as a geographically isolated subspecies rather than species,
5 at present, despite the consistent morphological differences observed.

6 **Description.** A medium-sized mole (Figs 5F, 6C), with a relatively short tail; 12.16-12.42%
7 of head and body length. Tail (Fig. 12F) parallel-sided, with sparse, stiff, sub-erect,
8 overlapping bristles; individual bristles mostly pale greyish, almost translucent, up to 20%
9 blackish or with black cores; bristles considerably variable in in length, giving a bushy
10 appearance; tail tip tapered and rounded, visible when bristles are moved. Overall appearance
11 of tail paler than body. Dorsal and ventral pelage greyish brown throughout, with noticeable
12 silvery sheen, particularly laterally and ventrally; individual hairs typically brownish at tips,
13 greyish below. Body elongated. Palm of manus (Fig. 12C) broad, relatively flat; claws at end
14 of all five phalanges, flattened dorsoventrally, with convex upper and slightly concave lower
15 margins, flattened oval in cross-section. Feet (Fig. 12F) approx. equal to tail length. Muzzle
16 elongated, with hairs, including longer sensory bristles, much paler than on head. Snout
17 pinkish with close, fine, small pale hairs.

18 Skull (Fig. 9) moderate, with a very stout, broad rostrum. Width across canines 15.11-
19 15.75%, and width across molars 30.91-32.73% condylobasal length (13.56-14.71 and 28.12-
20 29.54 in *T. davidiana davidiana* examined). Maxillary tooth row equals 41.49-42.35% of the
21 condylobasal length. The braincase is broad and deep, flattened above; height of
22 neurocranium 29.52-30.71% of condylobasal length. The posterior margin of palatine is
23 usually slightly posterior to the imaginary line connecting the posterior alveolar margins of
24 3rd upper molars, and the anterior border of the infraorbital foramen is above the 2nd upper
25 molar.

1 Upper incisors of decreasing size; 3rd incisor slightly less than ½ the size of the 1st.
2 With 3 upper premolars in all known specimens. 3rd upper premolar largest; 1st intermediate
3 and 2nd smallest (Fig. 9) Upper molars robust; 3rd much smaller than 1st and 2nd. 1st upper
4 molar with small but distinct parastyle (Fig. 11C) in all specimens; protocone much larger
5 than paracone; mesostyle relatively flattened at apex, slightly bifurcated. 2nd upper molar with
6 well-developed parastyle; protocone larger than paracone; mesocone well developed and
7 clearly bilobed at apex. 3rd upper molar with well-developed parastyle, slightly more
8 prominent than mesostyle; mesostyle bilobed at apex; protocone larger than paracone.
9 Mandible Figure 13C. Lower incisors (3) subequal. 1st lower premolar large, with prominent
10 distal cusp; 3rd lower premolar subequal to 1st; 2nd lower premolar much smaller. 1st and 2nd
11 lower molars large; 3rd smaller; all with prominent parastyles.

12 **Etymology.** The new subspecies is named after Tatvan, Bitlis Province, Turkey, where the
13 type locality is situated (adjective).

14 **Distribution and Ecology.** Known from only the vicinity of Tatvan, Bitlis Province, Turkey,
15 close to Van Gölü. Moles were trapped in grazed, high-altitude grassland, close to
16 agricultural areas (Fig. 5E).

17 **Comment.** *Talpa davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov. appears to correspond to the moles from
18 Tatvan referred to *T. davidiana* by Kryštufek *et al.* (2001). There are approximately 560 km
19 between known localities of the new subspecies and the nearest known *T. davidiana*
20 *davidiana* in Turkey. Whilst *T. davidiana tatvanensis* ssp. nov. is distinguishable from the
21 nominotypical species on both molecules and morphology, differences are smaller than those
22 between these taxa and *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. and *T. streetorum*, and branch support
23 values are lower. For these reasons, and the fact that our species delimitation analyses do not

1 separate *dauidiana* and *tatvanensis*, we consider these taxa as geographically separated,
2 divergent populations of subspecies.

3 ***Talpa streetorum* Lay, 1965**

4 *Talpa streeti* Lay, 1965 incorrect original spelling.

5 Type locality: Iran, Kurdistan Province, Hezar Darreh, 35° 25' N, 47° 07' E, 2,180 m (Fig. 1,
6 Table 1).

7 Material examined: We examined the dry-preserved skulls of five specimens from the type
8 series, housed in the Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, with specimen numbers
9 96421-96425. Of these, the holotype is 96424. In addition, we examined a further dry-
10 preserved skull of *T. streetorum* from Divandarreh in Iranian Kurdistan, close to the type
11 locality, labelled 111007. Our study is restricted to skull characters, as skins and other body
12 parts were preserved in alcohol and so are unlikely to retain their original colouration and
13 dimensions well. Collection of topotypical specimens in the future would allow these features
14 to be better documented, as they are also missing from the original description (Lay, 1965).

15 **Measurements of holotype.** Condylbasal length of skull 32.51 mm, maxillary tooth row
16 14.33 mm, breadth of braincase 16.42 mm, height of braincase 10.13 mm, breadth of rostrum
17 over canines 5.07 mm, breadth of rostrum over molars 10.53 mm (Supporting Information,
18 Table S3).

19 **Measurements of paratypes.** Means±standard deviation (minimum–maximum). Linear
20 measurements are in mm. Sample size = 4 for all measurements. Condylbasal length of skull
21 32.20±0.600 (31.55–32.96), maxillary tooth row 14.23±0.160 (14.08–14.44), breadth of
22 braincase 16.75±0.180 (16.59–17.00), height of braincase 9.76±0.320 (9.34–10.01), breadth
23 of rostrum over canines 5.00±0.140 (4.79–5.10), breadth of rostrum over molars 10.64±0.230

1 (10.44–10.97) (Supporting Information, Table S3, for measurements including additional
2 FMNH specimen 111007).

3 **Diagnosis.** Very large mole; skull large with a stout, broad, rostrum, closest in overall
4 appearance to *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. (compare Figs 7 & 10). Upper premolars 1-3 and
5 lower premolars 2-3 peglike, and 1st upper molar with at most trace of parastyle cusp, as in *T.*
6 *hakkariensis* sp. nov. (see Fig. 11). Differs from *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. in having multiple
7 mandibular foramina and a broader base to the coronoid process (Fig. 13). Our measurements
8 show that condylobasal length and breadth of the anterior rostrum are about the same in the
9 two species (*T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. means 32.88 mm and 5.24 mm; *T. streetorum* means
10 32.51 mm and 5.07 mm, respectively), but *T. streetorum* is larger in terms of palatal and
11 braincase widths (*T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. means 9.86 mm and 16.30 mm; *T. streetorum*
12 means 10.70 mm and 16.88 mm, respectively). The skull as a whole as measured by centroid
13 size is larger in *T. streetorum* than in *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov., as is the mandible (Fig. S2).

14 **Comment.** Note that the correct name for this taxon is *T. streetorum*, as corrected by Lay
15 (1967), because it was named after William S. and Janice K. Street, the genitive singular
16 being used in error in the original description. *T. streetorum* was used by a number of
17 workers (e.g., Niethammer, 1969; Ziegler, 1971), but appears to have been dropped since
18 Corbet (1978) considered it an unjustified emendation. Following Article 31.1.2 of the Code,
19 however, the correct name is clearly *T. streetorum*. Despite the lack of genetic data, our
20 morphometric analyses show that *T. streetorum* differs significantly from *T. hakkariensis* sp.
21 **nov.** and *T. davidiana* s. lat. on skull characters. On this basis, we reinstate *T. streetorum* as a
22 **valid species**, apparently endemic to Iran. Further work in the future, including DNA
23 sequence data, would be useful to confirm this hypothesis. It was not possible to obtain
24 tissues for molecular analyses due to restrictions outside our control.

1 DISCUSSION

2 Using a combination of mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences, we show that the
3 diversity of Anatolian moles is even greater than previously realised. Detecting species
4 boundaries in cryptic subterranean taxa such as *Talpa* is intrinsically difficult, and for the first
5 time, we apply rigorous molecular species delimitation models to test taxonomic hypotheses
6 in the genus. In the case of our newly defined taxa, we take an essentially phylogenetic
7 approach to defining species (see Cracraft, 1983), supporting our DNA-based species
8 hypotheses with morphological and morphometric analyses to accurately define taxa (e.g.,
9 Vogler & Monaghan, 2007; Oates *et al.*, 2022). Our analyses confirm the distinctness of all
10 previously recognised *Talpa* taxa, including the recently segregated *T. transcaucasica*
11 (Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020), and support the recognition of the new species and subspecies
12 described herein.

13 On the basis of genetics, morphology and morphometrics, we define *T. davidiana* in a
14 narrower sense than Kryštufek *et al.* (2001) and Kryštufek & Vohralík (2005). Large moles
15 from Hakkari Province, Turkey represent a new species, *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, which is
16 the largest mole thus far known in Anatolia, and amongst the largest *Talpa* described to date.
17 The large Hakkari specimens included in Kryštufek *et al.*'s (2001) study likely correspond to
18 this species. Although there are no generally accepted criteria for delineating species based
19 on genetic distance, interspecific *cyt-b* distances observed in mammals range from 2.50%
20 to 19.23% (Bradley & Baker, 2001). Baker & Bradley (2006) estimated the number of
21 mammalian phylogroups using *cyt-b* data from sister species recognized in classical
22 morphological studies and hypothesized that there may be more than 2,000 unrecognized
23 mammal species. They also concluded that if mammalian phylogroups are separated by a
24 genetic distance of >5% in the *cyt-b* gene, this strongly suggests the presence of distinct
25 species. In light of this, the net K2P distance of 11.79% reported here between *T. davidiana*

1 and *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. falls well within the range of sister species *cyt-b* divergence
2 observed in mammals. It is also considerably higher than distances observed between most
3 currently recognized *Talpa* species, which range from 5.66% (*T. levantis* and *T.*
4 *transcaucasica*) to 15.55% (*T. martinorum* and *T. talyschensis*) (Table S5). On this basis,
5 together with the results of molecular species delimitation analyses, descriptive morphology
6 and morphometrics, *T. davidiana* and *T. hakkariensis* sp. nov. each possess a combination of
7 apomorphic traits and can be considered as separate species under a phylogenetic species
8 concept (Cracraft, 1983; Bradley & Baker, 2001; Baker & Bradley, 2006).

9 On the basis of almost topotypical and other specimens, we show that the true *T.*
10 *davidiana* is a distinct, smaller-bodied taxon, divisible into two geographically isolated
11 populations, which can be readily distinguished, both genetically and morphologically (see
12 above). Whilst the precise distributional ranges of the two subspecies remain unclear, it
13 seems these taxa may be entirely allo- or parapatric. Despite these molecular and
14 morphological differences, formal molecular species delimitation analyses fail to separate
15 these populations as distinct species-level taxa, which is why, for the moment, we adopt the
16 rank of subspecies here. Whilst the use of subspecies has sometimes been criticized (e.g.,
17 Burbrink *et al.*, 2022), we feel that this rank provides an appropriate compromise here.
18 Clearly, further study of *T. davidiana* sensu lato, including a wider range of individuals and
19 populations for both molecules and morphology, would be instructive.

20 Kryštufek *et al.* (2001) synonymised *T. streetorum* with *T. davidiana* and later
21 Kryštufek & Vohralík (2005), in a discussion focussing on *T. chthonia* from the Pleistocene
22 of Israel, made *streetorum* a subspecies of *T. davidiana*, including Iranian type material, large
23 *davidiana*-type Hakkari moles, and *davidiana* from the environs of Lake Van. They
24 speculated that this subspecific taxon could be the same as the fossil *T. chthonia* but could
25 not draw firm conclusions. Hakkari animals, sequenced here for the first time, are genetically

1 very divergent from *T. davidiana* and differ significantly from *T. streetorum* on skull
2 characters, and are best recognised as a separate species, as is done here. *T. streetorum* differs
3 from *T. hakkariensis sp. nov.* in having multiple mandibular foramina and a broader base to
4 the coronoid process – significant morphological differences in talpids. In addition, geometric
5 morphometric tests show that the two are significantly different in both mandibular and
6 cranial shape, and centroid size shows that *T. streetorum* is larger in both structures than *T.*
7 *hakkariensis sp. nov.* Given the distinctiveness in morphology of *T. streetorum* and *T.*
8 *hakkariensis sp. nov.*, together with the geographical distance between known populations,
9 we think the most plausible taxonomic hypothesis is that these moles are different species. As
10 demonstrated by a number of recent studies, including ours, mole taxa typically have small
11 geographical ranges, particularly in semi-arid areas such as southern and eastern Turkey and
12 Iran. Moles from the Lake Van area are quite different from *T. hakkariensis sp. nov.* and
13 appear referable to *T. davidiana*, but are morphologically and genetically distinct from
14 topotypical material and so are described as *T. davidiana tatvanensis ssp. nov.*

15 Our species delimitation and phylogenetic analyses strongly suggest that *T.*
16 *transcaucasica* should be considered an independent species, following Demirtaş *et al.*
17 (2020), rather than a subspecies of *T. levantis*, as suggested by Kefelioğlu *et al.* (2020). *T.*
18 *transcaucasica* is much more divergent on *cyt-b* sequences from the two remaining
19 subspecies of *T. levantis* than either is from each other (see results). *T. transcaucasica* also
20 differs from *T. levantis* **sspp.** on *BRCA2* sequences (Demirtaş *et al.*, 2020). In fact, the
21 nucleotide difference between the *BRCA2* sequences of *T. transcaucasica* and *T. levantis*
22 **sspp.** is much higher than between several well-established related species of *Talpa*. The *T.*
23 *transcaucasica* *BRCA2* haplotype (KP717115) differs from all *T. levantis* **sspp.** *BRCA2*
24 haplotypes at three unique positions over the 656 bp used in our phylogeny, whereas the *T.*
25 *occidentalis* *BRCA2* haplotype (KP717106), for example, differs from all *T. europaea*

1 *BRCA2* haplotypes at just one unique position (Fig. 3). In light of these factors, we reinstate
2 *T. transcaucasica* as a **valid species** rather than a subspecies of *T. levantis*.

3 In summary, our work substantially improves our understanding of Eurasian moles
4 and increases the number of extant taxa recognised in the genus *Talpa* to 18 (16 species, plus
5 two subspecies); a revised checklist of the genus is provided below. Since Hutterer (2005),
6 the number of recognised taxa has therefore doubled, and we have no doubt that further
7 investigations will reveal additional diversity in this genus, particularly in Western Asia,
8 where a combination of climatic and topographical heterogeneity (as discussed in Demirtaş *et*
9 *al.*, 2020) appears to have resulted in extensive diversification in these animals.

10 **Revised checklist of global *Talpa* species**

11 *Talpa* Linnaeus, 1758

12 *altaica* Nikolasky, 1883

13 *aquitania* Nicolas, Martínez-Vargas & Hugot, 2017

14 *caeca* Savi, 1822

15 *caucasica* Satunin, 1908

16 †*chthonia* Bate, 1937

17 *davidiana* (Milne-Edwards, 1884)

18 *davidiana davidiana* (Milne-Edwards, 1884)

19 *davidiana tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.**

20 *europaea* Linnaeus, 1758

21 *hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**

- 1 *levantis* Thomas, 1906
- 2 *levantis levantis* Thomas, 1906
- 3 *levantis dogramacii* Kefelioğlu, Kryštufek, Selçuk, Hutterer & Astrin, 2020
- 4 *martinorum* Kryštufek, Nedyalkov, Jonas, Astrin & Hutterer, 2018
- 5 *occidentalis* Cabrera, 1907
- 6 *ognevi* Stroganov, 1944
- 7 *romana* Thomas, 1902
- 8 *stankovici* Martino and Martino, 1931
- 9 *streetorum* Lay, 1965
- 10 *talyschensis* Vereschagin, 1945
- 11 *transcaucasica* Dahl, 1945
- 12 †*tyrrhenica* Bate, 1945
- 13

1 **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis,
2 investigation, data curation, İ.G, S.D, M.S, M.Ö, P.D.P and D.T.B; writing—original draft
3 preparation, writing—review and editing, İ.G, S.D, P.D.P and D.T.B; project administration,
4 İ.G and S.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

5 **Acknowledgements:** Permission for specimen capture was kindly granted by the General
6 Directorate of Nature Conservation and National Parks, Republic of Turkey Ministry of
7 Agriculture and Forestry. Adam Ferguson facilitated study of *T. streetorum* material in the
8 Field Museum of Natural History in Chicago. We are deeply saddened by the recent
9 devastating earthquake disaster that struck the western part of our study area while this paper
10 was in review: our warmest condolences to those who lost their lives, their loved ones, and
11 their livelihoods.

12 **Funding:** The study was supported by the Scientific Research Coordination Unit of Ondokuz
13 Mayıs University (No. PYO.FEN.1901.20.002).

14 **Declarations**

15 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in relation to
16 this work.

17

18

1 **References**

- 2 Ahrens D, Fujisawa T, Krammer H, Eberle J, Fabrizi S, Vogler AP. 2016. Rarity and
3 incomplete sampling in DNA-based species delimitation. *Systematic Biology* **65**: 478–494.
- 4 Aleix-Mata G, Gutiérrez J, Ruiz-Ruano FJ, Lorite P, Marchal JA, Sánchez A. 2020. The
5 complete mitochondrial genome of *Talpa aquitania* (Talpidae; Insectivora), a mole species
6 endemic to northern Spain and southern France. *Molecular Biology Reports* **47**: 2397–2403.
- 7 Arrigoni R, Berumen ML, Chen CA, Terraneo TI, Baird AH, Payri C, Benzoni F. 2016.
8 Species delimitation in the reef coral genera *Echinophyllia* and *Oxypora* (Scleractinia,
9 Lobophylliidae) with a description of two new species. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
10 *Evolution* **105**: 146–159.
- 11 Baker RJ, Bradley RD. 2006. Speciation in mammals and the Genetic Species Concept.
12 *Journal of Mammalogy* **87**: 643–662.
- 13 Bannikova AA, Zemlemerova ED, Colangelo P, Sözen M, Sevindik M, Kidov AA, Dzuev
14 RI, Kryštufek B, Lebedev VS. 2015. An underground burst of diversity—a new look at the
15 phylogeny and taxonomy of the genus *Talpa* Linnaeus, 1758 (Mammalia: Talpidae) as
16 revealed by nuclear and mitochondrial genes. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **175**:
17 930–948.
- 18 Bradley RD, Baker RJ. 2001. A test of the Genetic Species Concept: cytochrome-*b* sequences
19 and mammals. *Journal of Mammalogy* **82**: 960–979.
- 20 Begall S, Burda H, Schleich CE. 2007. Subterranean Rodents: News from underground. In:
21 Begall S, Burda H, Schleich CE, eds. *Subterranean Rodents*. Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer. 3–
22 9.

- 1 Bensasson D, Zhang D, Hartl DL, Hewitt GM. 2001. Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's
2 misplaced witnesses. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **16**: 314–321.
- 3 Bookstein FL. 1991. *Morphometric Tools for Landmark Data*. Cambridge University Press.
4 435.
- 5 Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, Suchard MA, Rambaut A,
6 Drummond AJ. 2014. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis.
7 *PLoS Computational Biology* **10**: e1003537.
- 8 Burbrink FT, Crother BI, Murray CM, Smith BT, Ruane S, Myers EA, Pyron RA. 2022.
9 Empirical and philosophical problems with the subspecies rank. *Ecology and Evolution* **12**:
10 e9069.
- 11 Burgin CJ, Wilson DE, Mittermeier RA, Rylands AB, Lacher TE, Sechrest W. 2020.
12 *Illustrated Checklist of the Mammals of the World*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain.
- 13 Carballo DA, Rossi MS. 2018. Integrative lineage delimitation in rodents of the *Ctenomys*
14 *corrientes* group. *Mammalia* **82**: 35–47.
- 15 Carotenuto AR, Guarracino F, Šumbera R, Fraldi M. 2020. Burrowing below ground:
16 Interaction between soil mechanics and evolution of subterranean mammals. *Journal of the*
17 *Royal Society Interface* **17**: 1–13.
- 18 Carstens BC, Pelletier TA, Reid NM, Satler JD. 2013. How to fail at species delimitation.
19 *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 4369–4383.
- 20 Corbet GB. 1978. *The Mammals of the Palearctic Region. A Taxonomic Review*. British
21 Museum (Natural History) and Cornell University Press, London and Ithaca (NY), vii + 314.
- 22 Cracraft J. 1983. Species concepts and speciation analysis. *Current Ornithology* **1**: 159–187.

- 1 Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new
2 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**: 772.
- 3 Davies KTJ, Bennett NC, Tsagkogeorga G, Rossiter SJ, Faulkes CG. 2015. Family wide
4 molecular adaptations to underground life in African mole-rats revealed by phylogenomic
5 analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**: 3089–3107.
- 6 Dellicour S, Flot JF. 2015. Delimiting species-poor data sets using single molecular markers:
7 a study of barcode gaps, haplowebs and GMYC. *Systematic Biology* **64**: 900–908.
- 8 Dellicour S, Flot JF. 2018. The hitchhiker's guide to single-locus species delimitation.
9 *Molecular Ecology Resources* **18**: 1234–1246.
- 10 Demirtaş S, Silsüpür M, Searle JB, Bilton D, Gündüz İ. 2020. What should we call the
11 Levant mole? Unravelling the systematics and demography of *Talpa levantis* Thomas, 1906
12 sensu lato (Mammalia: Talpidae). *Mammalian Biology* **100**: 1–18.
- 13 Demirtaş S, Budak M, Korkmaz EM, Searle JB, Bilton DT, Gündüz İ. 2022. The complete
14 mitochondrial genome of *Talpa martinorum* (Mammalia: Talpidae), a mole species endemic
15 to Thrace: genome content and phylogenetic considerations. *Genetica* **150**: 317–325.
- 16 Dođramacı S. 1989. Taxonomy and distribution of moles (genus: *Talpa*) in Turkey. *Dođa TU*
17 *Zooloji* **13**: 204–219 [in Turkish].
- 18 Dryden IL, Mardia KV. 1998. *Statistical Shape Analysis*. Chichester, U.K.: John Wiley and
19 Sons. 347.
- 20 Dubey S, Michaux J, Brüner H, Hutterer R, Vogel P. 2009. False phylogenies on wood mice
21 due to cryptic cytochrome-*b* pseudogene. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **50**: 633–
22 641.

- 1 D'Elía G, Teta P, Lessa EP. 2021. A short overview of the systematics of *Ctenomys*: Species
2 limits and phylogenetic relationships. In: de Fretas TRO, Lopes GG, Maestri R, eds. *Tuco-*
3 *Tucos*. Nature Switzerland, Springer. 17–41.
- 4 Excoffier L, Lischer HE. 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform
5 population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **10**:
6 564–567.
- 7 Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap.
8 *Evolution* **39**: 783–791.
- 9 Fujisawa T, Barraclough TG. 2013. Delimiting species using single locus data and the
10 generalized mixed Yule coalescent approach: a revised method and evaluation on simulated
11 data sets. *Systematic Biology* **62**: 707–724.
- 12 Groves CP, Cotterill FPD, Gippoliti S, Robovský J, Roos C, Taylor PJ, Zinners D. 2017.
13 Species definitions and conservation: A review and case studies from African mammals.
14 *Conservation Genetics* **18**: 1247–1256.
- 15 Gutiérrez J, Lamelas L, Aleix-Mata G, Arroyo M, Marchal JA, Palomeque T, Lorite P,
16 Sánchez A. 2018. Complete mitochondrial genome of the Iberian mole *Talpa occidentalis*
17 (Talpidae, Insectivora) and comparison with *Talpa europaea*. *Genetica* **146**: 415–423.
- 18 He K, Shinohara A, Jiang XL, Campbell KL. 2014. Multilocus phylogeny of talpine moles
19 (Talpini, Talpidae, Eulipotyphla) and its implications for systematics. *Molecular*
20 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* **70**: 513–521.
- 21 He K, Shinohara A, Helgen KM, Springer MS, Jiang XL, Campbell KL. 2017. Talpid mole
22 phylogeny unites shrew moles and illuminates overlooked cryptic species diversity.
23 *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**: 78–87.

- 1 Hutterer R. 2005. Order Soricomorpha. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM, eds. *Mammal species of*
2 *the world*. Vol. 1, 3rd edn, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 220–311.
- 3 Irwin DM, Kocher TD, Wilson AC. 1991. Evolution of the cytochrome *b* gene of mammals.
4 *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **32**: 128–144.
- 5 Jaarola M, Searle JB. 2002. Phylogeography of field voles (*Microtus agrestis*) in Eurasia
6 inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Molecular Ecology* **11**: 2613–2621.
- 7 Ji Y, Yang J, Landis JB, Wang S, Yang Z, Zhang Y. 2021. Deciphering the Taxonomic
8 Delimitation of *Ottelia acuminata* (Hydrocharitaceae) using complete plastomes as super-
9 barcodes. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 681270.
- 10 Jiang M, Shi L, Li X, Dong Q, Sun H, Du Y, Zhang Y, Shao T, Cheng H, Chen W, Wang Z.
11 2020. Genome-wide adaptive evolution to underground stresses in subterranean mammals:
12 Hypoxia adaption, immunity promotion, and sensory specialization. *Ecology and Evolution*
13 **10**: 7377–7388.
- 14 Kapli P, Lutteropp S, Zhang J, Kobert K, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A, Flouri T. 2017. Multi-rate
15 Poisson tree processes for single-locus species delimitation under maximum likelihood and
16 Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Bioinformatics* **33**: 1630–1638.
- 17 Kefelioğlu H, Kryštufek B, Selçuk AY, Hutterer R, Astrin JJ. 2020. Taxonomic revision of
18 the Levant moles of Turkey (Mammalia: Talpidae). *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* **69**: 275–291.
- 19 Kekkonen M, Hebert PDN. 2014. DNA barcode-based delineation of putative species:
20 efficient start for taxonomic workflows. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **14**: 706–715.

- 1 Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions
2 through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **16**:
3 111–120.
- 4 Kishino H, Miyata T, Hasegawa M. 1990. Maximum likelihood inference of protein
5 phylogeny and the origin of chloroplasts. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **31**: 151–160.
- 6 Kryštufek B, Vohralík V. 2001. *Mammals of Turkey and Cyprus*. Introduction, checklist,
7 Insectivora. ZRS Koper.
- 8 Kryštufek B, Spitzenberger F, Kefelioğlu H. 2001. Description, taxonomy and distribution of
9 *Talpa davidiana*. *Mammalian Biology* **66**: 135–143.
- 10 Kryštufek B, Vohralík V. 2005. *Mammals of Turkey and Cyprus*. Rodentia I. Sciuridae,
11 Dipodidae, Gliridae, Arvicolinae. Koper, Slovenia: Knjižnica Annales Majora.
- 12 Kryštufek B, Motokawa M. 2018. Family Talpidae (Moles, desmans, star-nosed moles and
13 shrew moles). In: Wilson DE, Lacher TA, Mittermeier RA. eds. *Handbook of the Mammals*
14 *of the World, Vol. 8, Insectivores, sloths and colugos*, Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, 552–619.
- 15 Kryštufek B, Nedyalkov N, Astrin JJ, Hutterer R. 2018. News from the Balkan refugium:
16 Thrace has an endemic mole species (Mammalia: Talpidae). *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* **67**:
17 41–57.
- 18 Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary
19 genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**: 1547–
20 1549.

- 1 Lacey EA. 2000. Spatial and Social Systems of Subterranean Rodents Eileen. In: Lacey EA,
2 Patton JL, Cameron GN, eds. *Life Underground: The biology of subterranean rodents*.
3 University of Chicago Press: Chicago, USA.
- 4 Lang AS, Bocksberger G, Stech M. 2015. Phylogeny and species delimitations in European
5 *Dicranum* (Dicranaceae, Bryophyta) inferred from nuclear and plastid DNA. *Molecular*
6 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* **92**: 217–225.
- 7 Lay DM. 1965. A new species of mole (genus *Talpa*) from Kurdistan province, western Iran.
8 *Fieldiana: Zoology* **44**: 227–230.
- 9 Lay DM. 1967. A study of the mammals of Iran resulting from the Street expedition of 1962–
10 63. *Fieldiana: Zoology* **54**: 1–282.
- 11 Librado P, Rozas J. 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
12 polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1451–1452.
- 13 Luo A, Ling C, Ho SYW, Zhu CD. 2018. Comparison of methods for molecular species
14 delimitation across a range of speciation scenarios. *Systematic Biology* **67**: 830–846.
- 15 Magoga G, Fontaneto D, Montagna M. 2021. Factors affecting the efficiency of molecular
16 species delimitation in a species-rich insect family. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **21**: 1475–
17 1415.
- 18 Mallo D, Posada D. 2016. Multilocus inference of species trees and DNA barcoding.
19 *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society B Biological Sciences* **371**: 20150335.
- 20 Meyer A, Kocher TD, Basasibwaki P, Wilson AC. 1990. Monophyletic origin of Lake
21 Victoria cichlid fishes suggested by mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Nature* **347**: 550–553.

- 1 Milne-Edwards A. 1884. Sur la Classification des Taupes de l'ancien continent. *Comptes*
2 *rendus des seances de Academie des Sciences* **99**: 1141–1143.
- 3 Montoya-Sanhueza G, Šaffa G, Šumbera R, Chinsamy A, Jarvis JU, Bennett NC. 2022.
4 Fossorial adaptations in African mole-rats (Bathyergidae) and the unique appendicular
5 phenotype of naked mole-rats. *Communications Biology* **5**: 1–13.
- 6 Mouchaty SK, Gullberg A, Janke A, Arnason U. 2000. The phylogenetic position of the
7 Talpidae within Eutheria based on analysis of complete mitochondrial sequences. *Molecular*
8 *Biology and Evolution* **17**: 60–67.
- 9 Mutanen M, Kekkonen M, Prosser SWJ, Hebert DN, Kaila L. 2015. One species in eight:
10 DNA barcodes from type specimens resolve a taxonomic quagmire. *Molecular Ecology*
11 *Resources* **15**: 967–984.
- 12 Nei M. 1987. *Molecular evolutionary genetics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- 13 Nevo E. 1979. Adaptive convergence and divergence of subterranean mammals. *Annual*
14 *Review of Ecology and Systematics* **10**: 269–308.
- 15 Nicolas V, Martínez-Vargas J, Hugot J-P. 2017a. Molecular data and ecological niche
16 modelling reveal the evolutionary history of the common and Iberian moles (Talpidae) in
17 Europe. *Zoologica Scripta* **46**: 12–26.
- 18 Nicolas V, Martínez-Vargas J, Hugot J-P. 2017b. *Talpa aquitania* **sp. nov.** (Talpidae,
19 Soricomorpha), a new mole species from SW France and N Spain. *Mammalia* **81**: 641–642.
- 20 Niethammer J. 1969. Zur Taxonomie europäischer Zwergmaulwürfe (*Talpa* “*mizura*”).
21 *Bonner Zoologische Beiträge* **20**: 360–372.

- 1 Oates JF, Woodman N, Gaubert P, Sargis EJ, Wiawe ED, Lecompte E, Dowsett-Lemaire F,
2 Dowsett Robert J, Bi SG, Ikemeh RA, Djagoun CAMS, Tomsett L, Bearder SK. 2022. A new
3 species of tree hyrax (Procaviidae: Dendrohyrax) from West Africa and the significance of
4 the Niger–Volta interfluvium in mammalian biogeography. *Zoological Journal of the*
5 *Linnean Society* **194**: 527–552.
- 6 Partha R, Chauhan BK, Ferreira Z, Robinson JD, Lathrop K, Nischal KK, Chikina M, Clark
7 NL. 2017. Subterranean mammals show convergent regression in ocular genes and
8 enhancers, along with adaptation to tunneling. *eLife* **6**: e25884.
- 9 Paz A, Crawford AJ. 2012. Molecular-based rapid inventories of sympatric diversity: a
10 comparison of DNA barcode clustering methods applied to geography-based vs clade-based
11 sampling of amphibians. *Journal of Biosciences* **37**: 887–896.
- 12 Pentinsaari M, Vos R, Mutanen M. 2017. Algorithmic single-locus species delimitation:
13 effects of sampling effort, variation and nonmonophyly in four methods and 1870 species of
14 beetles. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **17**: 393–404.
- 15 Peppers LL, Bradley RD. 2000. Cryptic species in *Sigmodon hispidus*: evidence from DNA
16 sequences. *Journal of Mammalogy* **81**: 332–343.
- 17 Pimm SL, Jenkins CN, Abell R, Brooks TM, Gittleman JL, Joppa LN, Ravenc PH, Roberts
18 CM, Sexton JO. 2014. The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution,
19 and protection. *Science* **344**: 6187.
- 20 Polly PD. 2019. *Phylogenetics for Mathematica*. Version 6.5.
21 <https://github.com/pdpolly/Phylogenetics-for-Mathematica>.
- 22 Polly PD. 2022. *Geometric Morphometrics for Mathematica*. Version 12.4.
23 <https://github.com/pdpolly/Morphometrics-for-Mathematica>.

- 1 Pons J, Barraclough TG, Gomez-Zurita J, Cardoso A, Duran DP, Hazell S, Kamoun S,
2 Sumlin WD, Vogler AP. 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy
3 of undescribed insects. *Systematic Biology* **55**: 595–609.
- 4 Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S, Achaz G. 2012. ABGD, automatic barcode gap
5 discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology* 21: 1864–1877.
- 6 Puillandre N, Brouillet S, Achaz G. 2021. ASAP: assemble species by automatic partitioning.
7 *Molecular Ecology Resources* **21**: 609–620.
- 8 Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. 2018. Posterior summarisation in
9 Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic Biology* **67**: 901–904.
- 10 Rannala B, Yang Z. 2020. Species delimitation. In: Scornavacca C, Delsuc F, Galtier N, eds.
11 *Phylogenetics in the Genomic Era*. No commercial publisher. Authors open access book **5.5**:
12 1–18.
- 13 Rasband WS. 2022. ImageJ. U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA,
14 <https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>, 1997–2022.
- 15 Reid NM, Carstens BC. 2012. Phylogenetic estimation error can decrease the accuracy of
16 species delimitation: a Bayesian implementation of the General Mixed Yule Coalescent
17 model. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **12**: 196.
- 18 Renner MA, Heslewood MM, Patzak SD, Schafer-Verwimp A, Heinrichs J. 2017. By how
19 much do we underestimate species diversity of liverworts using morphological evidence? An
20 example from Australasian *Plagiochila* (Plagiochilaceae: Jungermanniopsida). *Molecular*
21 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* **107**: 576–593.

- 1 Rohlf FJ, Slice D. 1990. Extensions of the Procrustes method for the optimal superimposition
2 of landmarks. *Systematic Zoology* **39**: 40–59.
- 3 Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L,
4 Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference
5 and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* **61**: 539–542.
- 6 Sansalone G, Castiglione S, Raia P, Archer M, Dickson B, Hand S, Piras P, Profico A, Wroe
7 S. 2020. Decoupling functional and morphological convergence, the study case of fossorial
8 mammalia. *Frontiers in Earth Science* **8**: 112.
- 9 Savić I, Ćirović D, Bugarski-Stanojević V. 2017. Exceptional chromosomal evolution and
10 cryptic speciation of blind mole rats *Nannospalax leucodon* (Spalacinae, Rodentia) from
11 South-Eastern Europe. *Genes* **8**: 292.
- 12 Shimodaira H, Hasegawa M. 1999. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications
13 to phylogenetic inference. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **16**: 1114.
- 14 Shimodaira H. 2002. An approximately unbiased test of phylogenetic tree selection.
15 *Systematic Biology* **51**: 492–508.
- 16 Sikes RS, the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists.
17 2016. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in
18 research and education. *Journal of Mammalogy* **97**: 663–688.
- 19 Song H, Buhay JE, Whiting MF, Crandall KA. 2008. Many species in one, DNA barcoding
20 overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes are
21 coamplified. *The Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)* **105**: 13486–
22 13491.

- 1 Sözen M, Matur F, Çolak F, Irmak S. 2012. Karyological characteristics, morphological
2 peculiarities, and a new distribution locality for *Talpa davidiana* (Mammalia: Soricomorpha)
3 in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* **36**: 806–813.
- 4 Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
5 large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**:1312–1313.
- 6 Suchard MA, Lemey P, Baele G, Ayres DL, Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. 2018. Bayesian
7 phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. *Virus Evolution* **4**:
8 vey016.
- 9 Swofford DL. 2002. PAUP*. phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods),
10 version 4. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates.
- 11 Tang CQ, Humphreys AM, Fontaneto D, Barraclough TG, Paradis E. 2014. Effects of
12 phylogenetic reconstruction method on the robustness of species delimitation using single-
13 locus data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **5**: 1086–1094.
- 14 Tautz D, Arctander P, Minelli A, Thomas RH, Vogler AP. 2003. A plea for DNA taxonomy.
15 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **18**: 70–74.
- 16 Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen T-L, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online
17 phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**: W232–235.
- 18 Vogler AP, Monaghan MT. 2007. Recent advances in DNA taxonomy. *Journal of Zoological*
19 *Systematics and Evolutionary Research* **45**: 1–10.
- 20 Wang C, Agrawal S, Laudien J, Haussermann V, Held C. 2016. Discrete phenotypes are not
21 underpinned by genome-wide genetic differentiation in the squat lobster *Munida gregaria*

- 1 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Munididae): a multi-marker study covering the Patagonian shelf.
2 *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **16**: 258.
- 3 Zaitsev MV, Voita LL, Sheftel BI. 2014. *Mammals of the Fauna of Russia and Adjacent*
4 *Territories. Insectivores*. St. Petersburg. 1–390 [in Russian].
- 5 Zelditch ML, Swiderski DL, Fink WL. 2012. *Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists: a*
6 *Primer*, 2nd Edition. San Diego: Academic Press. 488.
- 7 Zhang J, Kapli P, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A. 2013. A general species delimitation method with
8 applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 2869–2876.
- 9 Ziegler AC. 1971. Dental homologies and possible relationships of recent Talpidae. *Journal of*
10 *Mammalogy* **52**: 50–68.
- 11
- 12

1 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

2 **Table S1** Additional GenBank accession numbers (not listed in Table 1) for sequences of *Talpidae*
3 species used in phylogenetic analyses.

4 **Table S2** Anatomical descriptions of the landmarks used in geometric morphometric analysis.

5 **Table S3** Tables of caliper measurements for the specimens examined in this study.

6 **Table S4** Net (D_a , above the diagonal) and raw (D_{xy} , below the diagonal) p distances between *Talpa*
7 species based on *cyt-b*, with associated standard errors (SE) based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates.

8 Species names are abbreviated as follows: *T. europaea* (T.eur.), *T. aquitania* (T.aqu.), *T. occidentalis*
9 (T.occ.), *T. martinorum* (T.mar.), *T. romana* (T.rom.), *T. caeca* (T.cae.), *T. stankovici* (T.sta.), *T.*
10 *talyschensis* (T.tal.), *T. levantis* (T.lev.), *T. transcaucasica* (T.tra.), *T. caucasica* (T.cau.), *T. ognevi*
11 (T.ogn.), *T. altaica* (T.alt.), *T. davidiana* (T.dav.) and ***T. hakkariensis sp. nov.*** (T.hak.).

12 **Table S5** Net (D_a , above the diagonal) and raw (D_{xy} , below the diagonal) K2P distance distances
13 between *Talpa* species based on *cyt-b*, with associated standard errors (SE) based on 10,000 bootstrap
14 replicates. Species names are abbreviated as follows: *T. europaea* (T.eur.), *T. aquitania* (T.aqu.), *T.*
15 *occidentalis* (T.occ.), *T. martinorum* (T.mar.), *T. romana* (T.rom.), *T. caeca* (T.cae.), *T. stankovici*
16 (T.sta.), *T. talyschensis* (T.tal.), *T. levantis* (T.lev.), *T. transcaucasica* (T.tra.), *T. caucasica* (T.cau.),
17 *T. ognevi* (T.ogn.), *T. altaica* (T.alt.), *T. davidiana* (T.dav.) and ***T. hakkariensis sp. nov.*** (T.hak.).

18 **Figure S1** Geometric morphometric landmark schemes for the cranium and mandible.

19 **Figure S2** Principal components (PC) morphospace for the cranium geometric morphometric data set
20 showing specimen numbers and geographic origin of each specimen.

21 **Figure S3** Principal components (PC) morphospace for the mandible geometric morphometric data
22 set showing specimen numbers and geographic origin of each specimen.

23 **Figure S4** Thin plate spline models showing the difference in shape between the negative and positive
24 ends of the first three PC axes for the cranium (A-C) and mandible (D-F) data sets.

1 **Figure S5** Results of MANOVA tests for differences in cranium (A) and mandible (B) shape and
2 plots of differences in centroid size of crania (D) and mandibles (E).

3 **Figure S6** Thin plate spline plots showing the difference in cranium (A) and mandible (B) shape
4 between *T. streetorum* and *T. hakkariensis sp. nov.*

5 **File S1.** Mandible landmark coordinates used in this study in TPS format. This configuration has 15
6 landmarks.

7 **File S2.** Skull landmark coordinates used in this study in TPS format. This configuration has 15
8 landmarks.

9

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Figure 1.** Map of locations (see Table 1) of *Talpa* moles from Turkey and Iran studied here.

3 **Figure 2.** Results of BI, ML, and MP analyses combined on a BI tree based on the complete
4 *cyt-b* gene sequences of *Talpa* species. ML and MP bootstrap values greater than 70% and
5 posterior probabilities (PPs) greater than 0.80 are shown at nodes. Haplotypes Hap.1–20 are
6 from this study. Species assignments from one distance-based (ASAP) and two tree-based
7 (GMYC, mPTP) delimitation methods are represented by vertical bars on the right side of the
8 phylogeny. The black colour on the bars signifies that there is agreement across delimitation
9 approaches, whereas grey colour indicates disagreement. For geographical origins of the
10 published sequences, see Table 1 and Table S1.

11 **Figure 3.** Results of BI, ML, and MP analyses combined on a ML tree based on *BRCA2*
12 sequences of *Talpa* and outgroup species. ML and MP bootstrap values greater than 70% and
13 posterior probabilities greater than 0.80 are shown at nodes. Haplotypes Hap.1–8 are from
14 this study. For the geographical origins of the published sequences, see Table 1 and Table S1.

15 **Figure 4.** Geometric morphometric results. Principal components (PC) morphospaces for the
16 cranial landmarks (A-B) and for the mandibular landmarks (C-D) and scree plots for the
17 variance explained by the individual PCs for the cranium (E) and mandible (F). See Fig. S1
18 for the landmarking schemes, Figs. S2-S3 for morphospace plots with individual specimens
19 labelled, and Fig. S4 for thin plate spline models of the shape variation along each PC axis.

20 **Figure 5.** Freshly trapped Anatolian *Talpa in situ*, with overviews of habitats at collecting
21 localities. A) *T. hakkariensis sp. nov.*, type locality, Turkey, Hakkari Province, Cemşililan
22 yaylası ca. 8 km NNE of Durankaya; B) *T. hakkariensis sp. nov.*, Holotype, specimen 1533;
23 C) *T. davidiana davidiana*, topotypical locality, Turkey, Hatay Province, Hassa, Çardak
24 yaylası; D) *T. davidiana davidiana*, specimen 1565; E) *T. davidiana tatvanensis ssp. nov.*,

1 type locality, Turkey, Bitlis Province, Uslu, Tatvan ca. 1 km E of Kaynarca; F) *T. davidiana*
2 *tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** Holotype, specimen 1530.

3 **Figure 6.** Dorsal view of Anatolian *Talpa* skins. A) *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, Holotype,
4 specimen 1533; B) topotypical *T. davidiana davidiana*, specimen 1565; C) *T. davidiana*
5 *tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** Holotype, specimen 1530. Scale bar = 50 mm.

6 **Figure 7.** *Talpa hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, Holotype, specimen 1533, cranium in A) lateral; B)
7 ventral and C) dorsal views. Scale bar = 10 mm.

8 **Figure 8.** Topotypical *Talpa davidiana davidiana*, specimen 1565, cranium in A) lateral; B)
9 ventral and C) dorsal views. Scale bar = 10 mm.

10 **Figure 9.** *Talpa davidiana tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** Holotype, specimen 1530, cranium in A)
11 lateral; B) ventral and C) dorsal views. Scale bar = 10 mm.

12 **Figure 10.** *Talpa streetorum* Holotype, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, specimen
13 96424, cranium in A) lateral; B) ventral and C) dorsal views. Scale bar = 10 mm.

14 **Figure 11.** Lateral view of left upper molar in Anatolian-Iranian *Talpa*, anterior to the left. A)
15 *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, Holotype, specimen 1533; B) topotypical *T. davidiana davidiana*,
16 specimen 1565; C) *T. davidiana tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** Holotype, specimen 1530; D) *T.*
17 *streetorum* Holotype, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, specimen 96424. Arrows
18 indicate parastyle.

19 **Figure 12.** Feet and tails of Anatolian *Talpa*. A&D) *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, Holotype,
20 specimen 1533; B&E) topotypical *T. davidiana davidiana*, specimen 1565; C&F) *T.*
21 *davidiana tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** Holotype, specimen 1530. Scale bar = A–C = 25 mm; D–F =
22 20 mm.

1 **Figure 13.** Mandibles of Anatolian-Iranian *Talpa*. A) *T. hakkariensis* **sp. nov.**, Holotype,
2 specimen 1533; B) topotypical *T. davidiana davidiana*, specimen 1565; C) *T. davidiana*
3 *tatvanensis* **ssp. nov.** Holotype, specimen 1530; D) *T. streetorum* Holotype, Field Museum of
4 Natural History, Chicago, specimen 96424. Scale bar = 2 mm.