



PEARL

A holistic remediation intervention for struggling undergraduate medical students affords sustained Progress Test performance recovery

Gray, CP; Toms, N

Published in:
MedEdPublish

DOI:
[10.15694/mep.2018.0000210.1](https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2018.0000210.1)

Publication date:
2018

Link:
[Link to publication in PEARL](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Gray, CP., & Toms, N. (in press). A holistic remediation intervention for struggling undergraduate medical students affords sustained Progress Test performance recovery. *MedEdPublish*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2018.0000210.1>

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Wherever possible please cite the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

A holistic remediation intervention for struggling undergraduate medical students affords sustained Progress Test performance recovery

Christian Gray[1], Nick Toms[1]

Corresponding author: Dr Nick Toms nick.toms@plymouth.ac.uk

Institution: 1. Peninsula Medical School, University of Plymouth

Categories: Educational Strategies, Teaching and Learning, Curriculum Evaluation/Quality Assurance/Accreditation, Undergraduate/Graduate

Received: 04/09/2018

Published: 12/09/2018

Abstract

Introduction: Despite meeting the high standards required to study medicine, a proportion of students struggle to perform consistently to a satisfactory standard. Available literature promotes using more holistic remediation approaches to address any underpinning, often complex multiple interlinked factors associated with chronic student underperformance. Our holistic Level Two remediation intervention draws on principles from Self-Regulated Learner theory, Spaced-Retrieval Practice theory and Motivational Interviewing, and also explores health and wellbeing factors. In this study, we explored the effectiveness of our holistic "Level Two" remediation intervention, offered to chronically struggling Yr1-5 undergraduate medical students, by analysing Progress Test performances.

Methods: For Year 1-5 students that were offered Level Two remediation, Progress Test performances pre- and post a Level Two remediation intervention were analysed between 2008-2017.

Results: Over this period, 5.9% Year 1-5 students were offered Level Two remediation, with an associated 93% offer acceptance rate. Highest incidence of Level Two remediation occurred in Year 2, then declined significantly over Years 3-5. For all years of study, a significant and sustained enhancement of medical knowledge test performance occurred following remediation. This enhancement did not display any significant gender or ethnicity bias.

Conclusions: We conclude that our holistic Level Two remediation pathway correlates with a significant and sustained enhancement of struggling medical students' academic performance.

Keywords: Remediation; holistic; medical student; self-regulated learner; Progress Test; undergraduate; medical knowledge

Introduction

Despite meeting the high academic standards required to study undergraduate medicine, a proportion of students struggle to perform consistently to a satisfactory standard (Yates and James, 2006). Furthermore, struggling medical students appear to be at an enhanced risk of later-career underperformance within postgraduate licensing examinations, clinical competency ratings, and meeting expected professionalism standards (Hojat et al. 1993; Gonnella, Erdmann and Hojat, 2004; Papadakis et al. 2005; Hemann et al. 2015). Therefore the timely identification and effective remediation of struggling students, are recognised as important steps to support acute and help avoid longer-term practice underperformance (Challis, Flett and Batstone 1999; Cleland et al. 2013; Winston, Van der Vleuten and Scherpbier, 2014).

Factors associated with chronic medical student underperformance are often multiple, complex and interlinked, with more targeted holistic remediation interventions have been advocated (Cleland, Cilliers and van Schalkwyk, 2018). The remediation intervention described in the present study is a holistic approach to support chronically struggling students. It explores any underlying pastoral and poor motivational issues, cognitive and organisational aspects of personal study skills and examination technique. The remediation intervention is underpinned by core principles taken from Self-Regulated Learner (SRL) (Zimmerman, 2008) and Spaced-Retrieval Practice theories (Roediger and Butler, 2011) and Motivational Interviewing (Wells and Jones, 2016).

SRL practice has been defined as the ability to initiate and direct one's learning beyond formal learning settings, via the proactive control of one's own learning environment and processes (Zimmerman, 2008). Remediation strategies that harness SRL principles have been promoted within medical education (Sandars and Cleary 2011; Cleland et al. 2013). Available evidence suggests that students possessing an effective SRL practice correlates with higher academic performance (Patel et al. 2015; Gandomkar et al. 2016). Effective SRLs possess metacognitive, motivational and behavioural strategies that help optimise the learning process. The systematic SRL process follows a dynamic, interrelated three phase sequential cycle: Forethought, Performance and Self-Reflection phases (Zimmerman, 2008). Forethought phase processes include: setting effective goals, strategic planning and self-motivational beliefs (eg self-efficacy and task interest perceptions). Performance phase processes, occurring during the learning task, involve the metacognitive learning monitoring, built on the preceding Forethought learning strategies. Finally, the Self-Reflection processes that occur after the learning task, include self-judgement and adaptive self-reaction elements, that self-assess and evolve learning practice (Zimmerman, 2008).

Spaced-Retrieval Practice theory revolves around the premise that long-term information retention is enhanced (versus massed rote learning and test "cramming") by the effective, temporal spacing of independent study sessions, combined with structured, repetitive and spaced information retrieval attempts and testing (Larsen, Butler and Roediger, 2008; Roediger and Butler, 2011). Motivation Interviewing, developed within clinical settings to target unhealthy behaviours, harness a non-judgemental, empathic and collaborative approach (Miller and Rollnick, 2012). Its application to university-level teaching has been advocated, as a tool to build student self-motivation, autonomy, confidence, resilience and to overcome resistance to required change (Wells and Jones, 2016).

This longitudinal study aimed to explore the possible effectiveness of our holistic remediation intervention, offered to struggling medical students, by analysing summative Progress Test performances.

Methods

Participants and setting

This study was undertaken within the Peninsula Medical School, located in South West England, between

2008-2017. From a total population of 2,088 students, the applied medical knowledge performance of identified chronically struggling Years 1-5 undergraduate medical students that experienced the holistic remediation intervention were analysed.

Our assessment principles are based on a "*frequent assessment, rapid remediation*" paradigm (Ricketts and Bligh, 2011). A key part of our detection of struggling students, is via monitoring ongoing student performances within our frequent, summative medical knowledge-assessing Progress Tests (Coombes et al., 2010). This practice enables the relatively early identification of underperforming students and offering of resultant timely remediation packages. An Academic Review Group, convenes frequently to monitor all individual students' summative assessment performances within the medical programme. By following set remediation criteria, a range of tiered and timely remediation packages are then offered by this group to identified struggling students.

For any single Progress Test failures, students are required to meet initially with their Academic Tutor to discuss academic study skills, receive appropriate pastoral tutor signposting ("Level One" Remediation), and agree a learning contract. Any students whose academic performance does not then follow a sustained recovery following this lower level of remediation, are then offered a more in-depth and more intensive "Level Two" remediation holistic package, the focus of the current study.

Holistic Level Two Remediation

The Level Two remediation pathway builds upon a previously described remediation process: assessment-based referral, a diagnostic meeting, an agreed management plan and follow-up appraisal (Sayer et al., 2002; Kalet, Guerrasio and Chou, 2016). The principal element is a semi-structured diagnostic individual interview with a remediation team tutor. Our remediation team are experienced medical school educators and clinical teachers, with extensive training and experience in remediation.

During the diagnostic interview, the student's approach to study is discussed. Organisational (e.g time management and planning) and cognitive aspects are explored and aligned to SRL (Zimmerman, 2008) and Spaced-Retrieval Practice (Roediger and Butler, 2011) principles. Motivational Interviewing techniques are also employed to help students adjust inappropriate, and focus on successful, behaviours (Wells and Jones, 2016). Students are encouraged follow sequences of short study periods (up to 30min), interspersed with brief rest periods and spaced opportunities for information recall and self-testing. This model is reported to enhance learning, versus a practice of devoting multiple continuous hours of constant studying (Larsen, Butler and Roediger, 2008; Roediger and Butler, 2011). Students are recommended to set a limited amount of SMART learning goals, limit environmental study distractions (eg noise and social media access) and engage in peer study groups to help optimise available cognitive load (Young et al., 2014).

Additionally, medical knowledge exam technique, screening for any undiagnosed specific learning difficulties and any underlying pastoral issues that may influence both motivation and academic performance are explored. Following the remediation interview, a resultant remediation report is agreed comprising of: meeting notes, study adjustment recommendations, agreed goals and any further appropriate specialist support referrals (Sayer et al., 2002). Examples of referral to supplemental specialist services include: university learning support centres, student counselling, their own General Practitioner and Occupational Health services. The student's ongoing engagement with the remediation report and subsequent academic performance are then reviewed by both the student's Academic Tutor and by the Academic Review Group throughout the rest of the academic year.

Performance in Progress Test multiple choice questions

The Peninsula Medical School employs Progress Testing, a MCQ-based testing model, to assess longitudinal growth

in applied medical knowledge. Assessment of medical students' medical knowledge by Progress Testing has been described previously (Ricketts, Brice and Coombes, 2010). Briefly, each Progress Test consists of 125 single best answer questions, each question comprising a clinical vignette, a choice of five possible answers and a "don't know" option. In Years 1-4, students sit four tests per academic year, whereas in Year 5 students are required to pass two tests. Each question is assessed with +1 mark for a correct answer, -0.25 for an incorrect answer and 0 for a "don't know" response (Wade et al., 2011). In Years 1-4, scores are norm-referenced, in which the deviation of an individual student score from their cohort is expressed as a z-score. In Year 5, test cut scores are criterion referenced to the standard of a newly qualified F₁ doctor. Test data are displayed within an online assessment database, enabling graphical test performance representation of individual student performance and academic performance trajectories, relative to their cohort.

Statistical analysis

Students' Progress Test performances were examined in Year 1-5 students between 2008-2017. First attempt year Progress Test student performances were analysed pre- and post-Level Two remediation intervention. Progression was compared in response to Level Two remediation using a Bonferroni Multiple comparison test and paired *t*-test, where appropriate.


Results/Analysis

Between 2008-2017, a total of 2088 students were enrolled onto the BMBS programme. During this period, mean Years 1-5 incidence of Level Two remediation interviews offered to struggling students was $5.9\% \pm 0.6\%$ total students per year. Following the Level Two remediation interview offer from the Academic Review Group, the majority of students then opted to receive remediation ($93.1 \pm 3.7\%$ uptake per year).

Longitudinal Analysis of Medical Knowledge Performance

Figure 1 compares the incidence of Level Two Remediation per year of study. During Year 2, Level Two remediation referral incidence peaked ($8.6 \pm 1.4\%$ interviews per year, $n=145$), then declined significantly versus later student cohorts ($p<0.05$). The lowest Level Two remediation incidence occurred in Year 5 ($1.7 \pm 0.9\%$, $n=16$), versus other years of study ($p<0.01$).

Figure 1: Incidence of Level Two remediation referral by year of study




Data displays frequency of Level Two remediation by study year. Year Two ($n=145$, $8.6 \pm 1.4\%$) displayed a significantly higher Level Two remediation incidence vs. years three or four ($p<0.05$, Bonferroni Multiple

comparison test). Year 5 ($1.7 \pm 0.9\%$, $n=16$) displayed the significantly lower Level Two remediation incidence versus Years 1-4 ($p < 0.01$, Bonferroni Multiple comparison test)

Prior to Level Two remediation, student test performances in preceding tests declined significantly ($p < 0.001$), resulting in student referrals to our Level Two remediation pathway. Figure 2A depicts struggling students mean medical knowledge performances pre- and post-Level Two Remediation intervention for study Years 1-4. Across Years 1-4 of the programme, a significant enhancement in mean medical knowledge assessment performance immediately followed Level Two Remediation ($p < 0.0001$, $n=403$). Of particular note, was the significantly higher acute mean performance recovery in Year 1 cohorts versus Years 2-4 ($p < 0.05$, $n=87$; Figure 2B). This enhancement in Progress Test performance was sustained over the four following tests following remediation. Additionally, this enhancement displayed either no significant gender or ethnicity bias (data not shown).

This effect was also observed in Year 5 (Figure 2C), although any comparable sustainability was not possible to identify, as Year 5 students are only required to pass two medical knowledge assessments in Year 5 prior to graduation.

Figure 2: Progress Test performance is enhanced following Level Two remediation




Test performance pre- (-1) and post (+1) - Level Two Remediation were analysed in struggling students offered Level Two remediation. Medical Knowledge performance data is displayed as SD from cohort mean (z-score). A) Years 1-4 (combined), B) Years 1-4 and C) Year 5 only. The arrow indicates the first test after students received Level Two remediation. Prior to Level Two remediation, student performances in preceding tests significantly declined across all year levels ($p < 0.001$, paired *t*-test). Level Two remediation afforded significant enhancement of medical knowledge performance across all years of study ($p < 0.0001$; paired *t*-test, $n=403$). Year 1 displayed a higher recovered performance in the first two tests following Level Two remediation, significantly different versus to Years 1-4 ($n=87$, $p < 0.05$, Bonferroni Multiple comparison test).

The relative effectiveness of the Level Two remediation intervention appears to be consistent, with no significant

difference found in its effectiveness over the 2008–2017 evaluation period (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Consistent remediation package effectiveness



Years 1-4 mean Progress Test performances pre- (-1) and post (+1) Level Two remediation between 2008-2017. Progress Test performance data is displayed as SD from cohort mean (z-score). The arrow indicates the first test after students received Level Two remediation. There was no significant difference in Level Two remediation effectiveness over the 2008-2017 period.

Discussion

Struggling undergraduate medical students often present with interlinked underpinning issues, that may benefit from a holistic supportive approach and environment to promote a sustained academic performance recovery (Cleland, Cilliers and van Schalkwyk, 2018). The present study provides evidence that our holistic Level Two remediation intervention is associated with a resultant both significant and sustained enhancement of students' medical knowledge performance.

Our "frequent assessment, rapid remediation" assessment practice enables the timely identification of any sustained decline in medical knowledge test performance relative to previous test scores and student peers, and reveals sudden declines in performance. The significant peak of Level Two remediation incidence earlier in our programme appears to be consistent with previous reports of academically-struggling students manifesting at early programme stages (Yates and James, 2006; Kebaetse et al., 2018). In our experience, a sudden divergence from previous sustained satisfactory performance, may often be explained by an acute personal adverse event affecting performance. Such acute underperformance instances are explored initially by our lower (Level One) remediation packages, during a 1-2-1 meeting with the student's Academic Tutor.

Where academic underperformance is sustained, it is often linked to multifactorial associated issues, including: poor SRL practice (Artino, Hemmer and Durning, 2011; Gandomkar et al., 2016), adverse relationship, financial and/or mental health issues (Sayer et al., 2002; Yates, 2011; Heikkila et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2015). Chronic struggling students can often present with maladaptive failure-coping and superficial learning strategies, reported to correlate with poor support system engagement and elevated risk of entering a cyclical failure pattern (Patel et al., 2015).

Our non-punitive, non-judgmental and collaborative remediation intervention, avoids a "more of the same" type intervention, such as supplementary knowledge teaching, reported to be relatively ineffective (Cleland et al., 2013). Instead, our holistic support offering focusses on both affording pastoral support (including clinical referrals, as

appropriate), motivational coaching and the developing of reflective SRL practice, that includes spaced-practice testing and evolving exam technique. Available evidence suggests that those students who engage successfully with SRL, are more likely to achieve higher successful academic performance, via a process that includes setting appropriate learning goals (Sandars and Cleary, 2011; Patel et al., 2015).

Motivational Interviewing attempts to disrupt the possible causative destructive cyclical pattern of poor SRL-derived failure. Motivational Interviewing, and the parallel collaborative Appreciate Coaching approach (White and Barnett, 2014), have been reported to result in remediation-based changes in poor behaviours in both clinical and higher education settings (Wells and Jones, 2016). Our motivational coaching remediation element, focusses on recognising and enhancing personal strengths and confidence, previously reported to help adjust poor SRL behaviours and boost self-efficacy (White and Barnett, 2014). It aims to support the learner's adverse failure-causal attributions, often perceived as being uncontrollable or externally-derived and afford support packages targeting any associated adverse emotional impact, and resultant sequelae, of their failure experience (Patel et al., 2015).

Our holistic and voluntary-attendance remediation offering, has also moved away from a "one size fits all" support or further teaching support packages. Our use of clinically-trained Level Two remediation members, not directly involved in the students' assessments or academic progression decisions, avoids the reported adverse "judge and jury" perceptions that struggling students' may have of their remediators (Yates, 2011; Patel et al., 2015). Additionally, a previous study recommended that remediation packages should be made compulsory, as struggling students may often lack appropriate insight and awareness of their developmental needs (Winston, Van der Vleuten and Scherpbier, 2010). In the present study, the vast majority of chronically struggling students who were offered Level Two remediation accepted the invitation.

Although it would be desirable to identify specific Level Two remediation components that may consistently deliver an enhancement in a student's performance, this is not a straightforward task to isolate such effective factors. Indeed, the efficacy of any individual remediation element tested will also likely depend on an individual's complex needs (Patel et al., 2015). The in-depth student interview referral and resultant advisory report employed in this study, enables both timely identification and resultant bespoke recommendations, based on an individual's particular recognised needs. Exploration of students' perceptions of their Level Two remediation experience is the subject of our ongoing study.

Conclusion

The early detection and subsequent successful remediation (ie sustained performance recovery) are identified key attributes in an effective student support programme. The present study, describes a holistic support package that has high levels of student engagement and correlates with a consequent sustained, enhanced recovery in Progress Test performance.

Take Home Messages

- The early identification of struggling medical students, and broad ranging remediation support packages, are recognised to be likely key elements of effective support packages.
- Our holistic remediation package explores and promotes student self-regulated practice and self-motivation principles, linking any underpinning health and pastoral issues to further support services.
- Our holistic remediation offer correlates with a consequent, sustained Progress Test performance recovery for previously struggling medical students.

Notes On Contributors

Christian Gray, PhD, is a Lecturer in Infection & Immunity; Peninsula Medical School, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK

Nick Toms, PhD, is an Associate Professor, Director of Medical Science Learning and Education Support, Peninsula Medical School, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Alice Osborne and Dr Anthony Finnigan for their early contributions to writing this article and to Dr Lee Coombes for his initial contributions to the study's methodology.

Bibliography/References

Artino A.R., Hemmer P.A., Durning S.J. (2011) 'Using Self-Regulated Learning theory to understand the beliefs, emotions, and behaviors of struggling medical students', *Academic Medicine*, 86, pp. 35-38.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31822a603d>

Challis M., Flett A, and Batstone G. (1999) 'An accident waiting to happen? A case for medical education', *Medical Teacher* 21, pp582-585. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421599979004>

Cleland J., Leggett H., Sandars J., Costa M.J. *et al.* (2013) 'The remediation challenge: theoretical and methodological insights from a systematic review', *Medical Education*, 47, pp. 242-251.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12052>

Cleland J., Cilliers F., van Schalkwyk S. (2018) 'The learning environment in remediation: a review', *Clinical Teacher*, 15, pp. 13-18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.12739>

Coombes L., Ricketts C., Freeman A. and Stratford J. (2010) 'Beyond assessment: feedback for individuals and institutions based on the progress test', *Medical Teacher*, 32, pp. 486-90.

<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2010.485652>

Gandomkar R., Mirzazadeh A., Jalili M., Yazdani K. *et al.* (2016) 'Self-regulated learning processes of medical students during an academic learning task', *Medical Education*, 50, pp. 1065-1074.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12975>

Gonnella J.S., Erdmann J.B. and Hojat M. (2004) 'An empirical study of the predictive validity of number grades in medical school using 3 decades of longitudinal data: implications for a grading system', *Medical Education*, 38, pp. 425-434. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2004.01774.x>

Heikkila A., Lonka K., Nieminen J. and Niemivirta M. (2012) 'Relations between teacher students' approaches to learning, cognitive and attributional strategies, well-being, and study success', *Higher Education*, 64, pp. 455-471.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-012-9504-9>

Hemann B.A., Durning S.J., Kelly W.F., Dong T. *et al.* (2015) 'The association of students requiring remediation in the internal medicine clerkship with poor performance during internship', *Military Medicine*, 180, pp. 47-53.

<https://doi.org/10.7205/MILMED-D-14-00567>

Hojat M., Gonnella J.S., Veloski J.J. and Erdmann J.B. (1993) 'Is the glass 1/2 full or 1/2 empty - a reexamination of the associations between assessment measures during medical-school and clinical competence after graduation', *Academic Medicine*, 68, pp. 69-76. [10.1097/00001888-199302000-00035](https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-199302000-00035)

Kalet A., Guerrasio J. and Chou C.L. (2016) 'Twelve tips for developing and maintaining a remediation program in medical education', *Medical Teacher*, 38, pp. 787-792. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2016.1150983>

Kebaetse M.B., Kebaetse M., Mokone G.G., Nkomazana O., *et al.* (2018). 'Learning support interventions for Year 1 medical students: a review of the literature', *Medical Education*, 52, pp. 263-273.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13465>

Larsen D.P., Butler A.C. and Roediger H.L. (2008) 'Test-enhanced learning in medical education', *Medical Education*, 42, pp. 959-966. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03124.x>

Miller R.W. and Rollnick S. (2012) '*Motivational Interviewing: helping people change*', New York: Guilford Press, 3rd Edition.

Papadakis M.A., Teherani A., Banach M.A., Knetter T.R., *et al.* (2005) 'Disciplinary action by medical boards and prior behavior in medical school'. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 353, pp. 2673-2682.
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa052596>

Patel R., Tarrant C., Bonas S., Yates J. *et al.* (2015), 'The struggling student: a thematic analysis from the self-regulated learning perspective', *Medical Education*, 49, pp. 417-426. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12651>

Patel R.S., Tarrant C., Bonas S. and Shaw R.L. (2015) 'Medical students' personal experience of high-stakes failure: case studies using interpretative phenomenological analysis', *BMC Medical Education*, 15, pp.86-90.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-015-0371-9>

Ricketts C. and Bligh J. (2011) 'Developing a "frequent look and rapid remediation" assessment system for a new medical school', *Academic Medicine*, 86, pp. 67-71. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181ff9ca3>

Ricketts C., Brice J. and Coombes L. (2010) 'Are multiple choice tests fair to medical students with specific learning disabilities', *Adv. Health Sci. Educ.*, 15, pp. 265-275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-009-9197-8>

Roediger H.L. and Butler A.C. (2011) 'The critical role of retrieval practice in long-term retention', *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15, pp. 20-27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.09.003>

Sanders J. and Cleary T.J. (2011) 'Self-regulation theory: applications to medical education: AMEE Guide No. 58', *Medical Teacher*, 33, pp. 875-86. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2011.595434>

Sayer M., Chaput De Saintonge M., Evans D. and Wood D. (2002) 'Support for students with academic difficulties', *Medical Education*, 36, pp. 643-650. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2002.01259.x>

Wade L., Harrison C., Hollands J., Mattick K. *et al.* (2011) 'Students perceptions of the progress test in two settings and the implications for test deployment'. *Adv. Health Sci. Ed.*, 17, pp. 573-583.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-011-9334-z>

Wells H. and Jones A. (2016) 'Learning to change: the rationale for the use of motivational interviewing in higher education', *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 55, pp 111-118.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2016.1198714>

White M.K. and Barnett P. (2014) '*A five step model of Appreciative Coaching: a positive process for remediation in*

medical education: a mid-course correction, New York: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-9025-8>

Winston K.A., Van der Vleuten C.P. and Scherpbier A.J. (2010) 'An investigation into the design and effectiveness of a mandatory cognitive skills programme for at-risk medical students', *Medical Teacher*, 32, pp. 236-243.
<https://doi.org/10.3109/01421590903197035>

Winston K.A., van der Vleuten C.P. and Scherpbier A.J. (2014) 'Prediction and prevention of failure: an early intervention to assist at-risk medical students', *Medical Teacher*, 36, pp. 25-31.
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.836270>

Yates J. and James D. (2006), 'Predicting the "strugglers": case-control study of students at Nottingham University medical school', *BMJ*, 332, pp. 1009-1012. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38730.678310.63>

Yates J. (2011) 'Development of a 'toolkit' to identify medical students at risk of failure to thrive on the course: an exploratory retrospective case study', *BMC Med. Ed.*, 11, pp. 95. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-11-95>

Young J.Q., Van Merriënboer J., Durning S. and Ten Cate O. (2014) 'Cognitive load theory: implications for medical education: AMEE Guide No. 86', *Medical Teacher*, 36, pp. 371-384.
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.889290>

Zimmerman, B. J. (2008) 'Investigating self-regulation and motivation: historical background, methodological developments, and future prospects', *American Educational Research Journal*, 45, pp. 166-183.
<https://doi.org/10.3102%2F0002831207312909>

Appendices

None.

Declarations

The author has declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

This has been published under Creative Commons "CC BY 4.0" (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

Ethics Statement

Performance data presented in this paper are combined, resulting in no individuals being able to be identified. The current study was confirmed to not require formal research ethics approval from the University of Plymouth's Research Ethics Committee. The authors have taken care to insure that either no individual could be recognised throughout the study or that any harm could arise through publication.

External Funding

This paper has not had any External Funding

AMEE MedEdPublish: rapid, post-publication, peer-reviewed papers on healthcare professions' education. For more

Gray C, Toms N
MedEdPublish
<https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2018.0000210.1>



information please visit www.mededpublish.org or contact mededpublish@dundee.ac.uk.